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## Motivation

- Regression Discontinuity (RD) designs have been broadly applied.
- However, non-parametric estimation is restricted to simple specifications.
- I.e., cross-sectional data with one running variable.
- Thus some papers still use parametric polynomial forms and/or arbitrary bandwidths. For instance,
- Dell (2010, Econometrica) estimates a two-dimensional RD.
- Grembi et al. (2016, AEJ:AE) estimates Difference-in-Discontinuities.
- The goal is to create a program (such as rdrobust) that accommodates more flexible specifications.
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## Overview

- The ddrd package is built upon rdrobust package, including the following options:
(1) Difference-in-Discontinuities (DiD) and Difference-in-Kinks (DiK)
(2) Multiple running variables
(3) Analytic weights (aweight)
(1) Control variables
© Heterogeneous effect through linear interaction (in progress).
- All options are taken into account when computing the optimal bandwidth, using ddbwsel.
- The estimator changes, so does the procedure.


## Difference-in-Discontinuity/Kink, Notation

- Let $\mu_{t}(x)=\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X=x, t]$ and $\mu_{t}^{(v)}(x)=\frac{\partial^{v} E[Y \mid X=x, t]}{(\partial x)^{v}}$.
- Then the conventional sharp RD/RK estimand is:

$$
\tau_{v, t}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mu_{t}^{(v)}(x)-\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{-}} \mu_{t}^{(v)}(x)=\mu_{t+}^{(v)}-\mu_{t-}^{(v)}
$$

- The DiD/DiK estimand is:

$$
\Delta \tau_{v}=\mu_{1+}^{(v)}-\mu_{1-}^{(v)}-\left[\mu_{0+}^{(v)}-\mu_{0-}^{(v)}\right]
$$

## Optimal Bandwidth, $h^{*}$

- Two methods based on the mean square error (MSE):

$$
h_{M S E}^{*}=\left[C(K) \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\tau}_{v}\right)}{\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\tau}_{v}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{5}} n^{-\frac{1}{5}}
$$

- Imbens and Kalyanaraman (2012), IK.
- Calonico, Cattaneo and Titiunik (2014), CCT.
- They differ in the way $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\tau}_{v}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\tau}_{v}\right)$ are estimated.
- For DiD/DiK, the trick is to replace $\hat{\tau}_{v}$ by $\Delta \hat{\tau}_{v}$.
- While ddrd calculates the robust, bias-corrected confidence intervals for $\Delta \hat{\tau}_{v}$, as proposed by CCT.
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## Application: Retirement and Payroll Credit in Brazil

- In 2003, Brazil passed a legislation regulating payroll lending.
- Loans for which interests are deducted from payroll check (Coelho et al., 2012).
- It represented a "kink" in loans to pensioners.
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## Application: Retirement and Payroll Credit in Brazil

- Optimal bandwidth for Difference-in-Kink at age 60:

```
. ddbwsel borrower aged [aw=weight], time(time) c(60) deriv(1) all
Computing CCT bandwidth selector.
Computing IK bandwidth selector.
Bandwidth estimators for local polynomial regression
```



| Number of obs | $=$ | 53757 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| NN matches | $=$ | 3 |
| Kernel type | $=$ | Triangular |

## Application: Retirement and Payroll Credit in Brazil

## - ddrd output:

. ddrd borrower aged [aw=weight], time(time) $c(60)$ deriv(1) b('b') $h(' h$ ')
Preparing data.
Calculating predicted outcome per sample.
Estimation completed.
Estimates using local polynomial regression. Derivative of order 1.

| Cutoff $c=60$ | Left of $c$ | Right of $c$ | Number of obs $=$ | 27093 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of obs, $t=0$ | 6117 | 3081 | NN matches | $=$ | 3 |
| Number of obs, $t=1$ | 7319 | 4001 | BW type | Manual |  |
| Order loc. poly. (p) | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Order bias (q) | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| BW loc. poly. (h) | 12.457 | 12.457 |  |  |  |
| BW bias (b) | 18.735 | 18.735 |  |  |  |

Outcome: borrower. Running Variable: aged.

| Method I | Coef. | Std. Err | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conventional \| | . 0229 | . 0221 | 1.0362 | 0.300 | -. 020417 | . 066218 |
| Robust I | . 0271 | . 03123 | 0.8680 | 0.385 | -. 034098 | . 088303 |

## Difference-in-Kink

- What if there is no cutoff and aged is a continuous treatment?
- Shift in level represents the first difference, while change in the slope represents the second difference.
- Difference-in-Difference with continuous treatment.


## Difference-in-Kink

Estimating changes in the first derivative at any part of the function:


## Multidimensional RD, Notation

- Suppose $X$ has $k$ dimensions, i.e. $X=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right\}$.
- Cutoff doesn't have to be unique.
- Let $\mathrm{c}=\left\{\left(c_{11}, \cdots, c_{n 1}\right), \cdots,\left(c_{1 L}, \cdots, c_{n L}\right)\right\}$ be the cutoff hyperplane.
- $z_{i}$ indicates whether $i$ is "intended for treatment" (in the treated set) or not (in the control set).
- Trick: pick one point in c, say $\mathrm{c}_{l}=\left(c_{1 l}, \cdots, c_{n l}\right)$, and reduce $X$ to one dimension by calculating the distance $d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{l}\right)$ for every $i$.
- The new running variable is:

$$
r_{i}=\left(2 \cdot z_{i}-1\right) \cdot d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{l}\right)
$$
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## Multidimensional RD

- With one running variable, $r$, I can apply the previous methods.
- ddrd includes the following distance functions:
- Caveat: If cutoff isn't unique, $\hat{\tau}_{v}, \Delta \hat{\tau}_{v}$, and $h^{*}$ depend on the chosen cutoff point.
- Solution: Average effect from several different cutoffs.
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## Multidimensional RD

- With one running variable, $r$, I can apply the previous methods.
- ddrd includes the following distance functions:
- Manhattan (L1)
- Euclidean (L2)
- Minkowski (Lp)
- Mahalanobis
- Latitude-Longitude
- Caveat: If cutoff isn't unique, $\hat{\tau}_{v}, \Delta \hat{\tau}_{v}$, and $h^{*}$ depend on the chosen cutoff point.
- The effect can be heterogeneous.
- Solution: Average effect from several different cutoffs.
- Correlation between cutoffs should be taken into account (in progress).


## Application: The Effect of Prostitution on House Prices

- In Amsterdam, the canals are like natural borders of the red light district (RLD).



## Application: The Effect of Prostitution on House Prices

## - ddrd output:

```
. ddrd lprice Lat Lon if time==0, itt(rldA) c(52.374611 4.901397) dfunction(Latlong)
Computing Latlong distance
Preparing data.
Computing bandwidth selectors.
Calculating predicted outcome per sample.
Estimation completed.
Estimates using local polynomial regression.
\begin{tabular}{r|rrrrr} 
Cutoff \(c=0\) & Left of \(c\) & Right of \(c\) & Number of obs \(=\) & 53174 \\
Number of obs & 99 & 124 & NN matches & \(=\) & 3 \\
Order loc. poly. (p) & 1 & 1 & BW type & \(=\) & CCT \\
Order bias (q) & 2 & 2 & & & \\
BW loc. poly. (h) & 7.445 & 7.445 & & \\
BW bias (b) & 11.258 & 11.258 & &
\end{tabular}
```

Outcome: lprice. Running Variable: Lat Lon.

| Method I | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conventional \| | -. 27857 | . 06379 | -4.3669 | 0.000 | -. 403605 | -. 153544 |
| Robust \| | -. 30377 | . 09626 | -3.1557 | 0.002 | -. 492442 | -. 115104 |

## Application: The Effect of Prostitution on House Prices

## - ddrd output, with DiD:

```
. ddrd lprice Lat Lon, itt(rldA) time(time) c(52.374611 4.901397) dfunction(Latlong)
Computing Latlong distance
Preparing data.
Computing bandwidth selectors.
Calculating predicted outcome per sample.
Estimation completed.
```

Estimates using local polynomial regression.

| Cutoff $c=0$ \| Left of $c$ Right of $c$ |  |  | Number of obs $=$ | $=\quad 49055$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NN matches | 3 |
| Number of obs, $\mathrm{t}=0 \mathrm{l}$ | 86 | 90 | BW type | CCT |
| Number of obs, $t=1$ \| | 60 | 47 | Kernel type | = Triangular |
| Order loc. poly. (p) \| | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Order bias (q) \| | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| BW loc. poly. (h) \| | 6.937 | 6.937 |  |  |
| BW bias (b) \| | 11.963 | 11.963 |  |  |
| rho (h/b) \| | 0.580 | 0.580 |  |  |

Outcome: lprice. Running Variable: Lat Lon.


## Control Variables

- In the previous example, we are interested in residents' willingness to pay for the location.
- However, house prices comprise both quality and location.
- And house quality is also affected by amenities.
- Solution is to control for house characteristics.
- How?
- I apply the Frisch-Waugh theorem in 3 steps (McMillen and Redfearn, 2010):
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## Application: The Effect of Prostitution on House Prices

## - ddrd output, with control variables:

. ddrd lprice Lat Lon if time==0, itt(rldA) c(52.374611 4.901397) dfunction(Latlong) control(siz $>$ e date1-date 4 monumnt poorcnd luxury rooms floors kitchen bath centhet balcony attic terrace 1 > ift garage garden)
(...)

Estimates using local polynomial regression.

| Cutoff $c=0$ l Left of $c$ Right of $c$ |  |  |  | Number of obs $=$NN matches $=$BW typeKernel type $=$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 72434 \\ 3 \\ \text { Manual } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of obs | 117135 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order loc. poly. (p) | 1 |  |  |  |  | Manual <br> Triangular |
| Order bias (q) | $2 \quad 2$ |  |  | Kernel type = |  |  |
| BW loc. poly. (h) | $7.445 \quad 7.445$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| BW bias (b) | 11.258 11.258 |  |  |  |  |  |
| rho (h/b) | 0.661 | 0.661 |  |  |  |  |
| Outcome: lprice. Running Variable: Lat Lon. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method I | Coef. | Std. Err | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| Conventional | -. 50715 | . 22619 | -2.2422 | 0.025 | -. 950466 | -. 063836 |
| Robust | -. 61673 | . 36225 | -1.7025 | 0.089 | -1.32674 | . 093267 |

Control variables: size date1 date2 date3 date 4 monumnt poorcnd luxury rooms floors kitchen bath $>$ centhet balcony attic terrace lift garage garden.

