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## Motivation

Why mlogit?

- Fixed effect models available for continuous, binary and count data dependent variables.
- Polytomous categorical dependent variables commonly used in all fields of social sciences.

Why fixed effects?
Counter omitted variable bias!

- With fixed effects models no assumptions about $\alpha_{i}$ necessary.
- Random effects and pooled models basically assume no correlation of $\alpha_{i}$ and $X_{i t}$.


## Statistical model

mlogit across time with unobserved heterogeneity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i t}=j\right) & =\frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{i j}+X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{1+\sum_{k=1, k \neq B}^{J} \exp \left(\alpha_{i j}+X_{i t} \beta_{k}^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { for } j \neq \text { base outcome } B \\
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i t}=B\right) & =\frac{1}{1+\sum_{k=1, k \neq B}^{J} \exp \left(\alpha_{i j}+X_{i t} \beta_{k}^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution by Chamberlain(1980)

- $\sum_{t=1}^{T_{i}} y_{i t j}$ is sufficient statistic for $\alpha_{i j}$
- Cond. probability model: Prob. of sequence $y_{i 1}, \ldots, y_{i T_{i}}$ cond. of "overall tendency" to each outcome $j \neq B$.
- $\alpha_{i}$ disappeares!
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i} \mid \bigwedge_{j \neq B} \sum_{t=1}^{T_{i}} y_{i t j}\right)=\frac{\prod_{t=1}^{T_{i}} \prod_{j=1, j \neq B}^{J} \exp \left(X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{y_{i t j}}}{\left.\sum_{d_{i} \in \Delta_{i}}\left(\Pi_{t=1}^{T_{i}} \prod_{j=1, j \neq B}^{J} \exp \left(X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{d_{i j}}\right)\right)}$
with
$\Delta_{i}=\left\{\left(d_{i 1}, \ldots, d_{i T_{i}}\right)^{\prime} \mid \forall j=1, \ldots, J, j \neq B: \sum_{t=1}^{T_{i}} d_{i t j}=k_{i j}\right\}$.


## Statistical model (cont.)

$\Delta_{i}$ is the set of all permutations of $y_{i}$.
Example: Let $y_{i}=(1,2,3)$.

$$
\Delta_{i}=\{(1,2,3),(1,3,2),(2,1,3),(2,3,1),(3,1,2),(3,2,1)\} .
$$

Estimation with maximum-likelihood
The log. likelihood function:

$$
\ln L=\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} y_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}-\ln \sum_{\Delta_{i}} \exp \sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Implementation: General layout

## Top-level ado

- Syntax
- Further preparation

Actual estimation with maximum likelihood

- Iteration management \& display of results via Stata ml
- Log likelihood, gradient, Hessian with Mata evaluator function


## Implementation: Top-level ado

"Outer shell"

- Standard parsing with syntax: varlist, group id, optional base outcome
- Missings: Standard listwise deletion via markout
- Collinear Variables: Copied \& adjusted _rmcoll from mlogit
- Matsize check: Copied \& adjusted from clogit
- Editing of equations for ml: Copied \& adjusted from mlogit
- Offending observations/groups, i.e. checks variance in dep. \& indep. var's; copied \& adjusted from clogit
- Init. values: inspired by clogit
- Remaining preparation for mata function:
- Globals for group id var., indep. var's for ml evaluator function
- Matrix out2eq: Mapping from outcome indices to outcomes values and equation indices.


## Implementation: Maximum likelihood

"Interface": Stata ml
Putting equations in Stata's ml terminology

- Panel structure $\Rightarrow$ no likelihood defined at observation level $\Rightarrow$ d-family method
- Computation speed and accurary $\Rightarrow \mathrm{d} 2$ method, i.e. In $L, g, H$ have to be analytically derived
- J-1 equations, i.e.
$\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{J-1}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{B-1}, y_{B+1}, \ldots, y_{J}\right)$
- J-1 parameters $\theta_{j}=X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}$; not used, direct use of $(J-1) \times M$ coefficients $\beta_{j m}$


## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute $\ln L, g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute $\ln L, g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:

1. Declare variables.

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, $g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
2. Get data, etc. from Stata.

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, $g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
3. Derive $N, T, J$.

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
4. Loop over $i$ using panelsetup

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, $g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
5. Compute $A=\sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} y_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
6. At gradient-step (if (todo>0)), compute $C_{(j, m)}=\sum_{t} y_{i t j} x_{i t m}$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
7. Loop over $\Delta_{i}$ (permutations of $y_{i}$ ) using cvpermute

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, $g, H$ with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
8. Add up $B=\sum_{\Delta_{i}} \exp \left(\sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
9. At gradient-step (if (todo>0)), add up $D_{(j, m)}=\sum_{\Delta_{i}} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} X_{i t m} \exp \left(\sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
10. At Hessian-step (if (todo>1)), add up

$$
E_{(j, m)(k, l)}=\sum_{\Delta_{i}} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} x_{i t m} \sum_{t} d_{i t k} x_{i t l} \exp \left(\sum_{j \neq B} \sum_{t} d_{i t j} X_{i t} \beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
11. After loop over $\Delta_{i}$, build panel-wise $\ln L_{i}, g_{i}, H_{i}$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
12. After loop over $i$, build sample $\ln L, g, H$

## Implementation: Maximum likelihood (cont.)

"Core": Mata evaluator function cmlogit_eval()

- Compute InL, g, H with current coef. vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L & =\sum_{i}(A-\ln B) \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m}} & =\sum_{i}\left(C_{(j, m)}-\frac{D_{(j, m)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j \neq B \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta_{j m} \partial \beta_{k l}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\frac{D_{(j, m)}^{\prime} D_{(k, l)}}{B^{2}}-\frac{E_{(j, m)(k, l)}}{B}\right) \quad \text { for } j, k \neq B
\end{aligned}
$$

Process step-by-step:
And that's it! (with one ml-step)

## First applications: How to use it

Syntax
femlogit depvar indepvars, group(varlist) [baseoutcome(\#)]
Data structure

- Long panel-wise, condensed alternative-wise:

| $i$ | $t$ | $y_{i t}$ | $x_{i t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | .5 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | .2 |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | .9 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | .1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | .3 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | .2 |

- $t$ not necessary.


## Examples: Benchmark clogit

How precise and how fast is it?
Comparison with clogit for $J=2$.

- Data used:
http://www.stata-press.com/data/r11/union.dta
- Relative difference of coefficients: 9.078e-16.
- Speed: clogit: 2.42 sec., femlogit: 101.58 sec..


## Examples: Simulated data

Performance with more alternatives
Simulated data

- $N=1000, T=5, J=5$
- Unobs. het. $\alpha_{i j}$ : over all i random draw $\left(\alpha_{i 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i 5}\right)$ from uniform distribution over 4-simplex $\Delta^{4}$.
- Error $\varepsilon_{i t j}$ : over all i and t , for each j indep. draws from Gumbel-distribution $\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{i t j}\right)=\gamma, \operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i t j}\right)=\pi / \sqrt{6}\right)$.
- Indep. variable: $x$ correlated with $\alpha$
- $x_{i t}=u_{i t}+\alpha_{i 2}$,
- $u_{i t}$ drawn from uniform distribution.
- Coefficients $\beta_{2}=2, \beta_{3}=3, \beta_{4}=4, \beta_{5}=5$.


## Examples: Simulated data (cont.)

- Utility $U_{i t j}$ : for each i and t

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{i t 1}=\varepsilon_{i t 1} \\
& U_{i t 2}=10 \alpha_{i 2}+\beta_{2} x_{i t}+\varepsilon_{i t 2} \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& U_{i t 5}=10 \alpha_{i 5}+\beta_{5} x_{i t}+\varepsilon_{i t 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dep. var.: $y_{i t}=j$ with $U_{i t j}=\max _{k}\left(U_{i t k}\right)$


## Examples: Simulated data (cont.)

## Results


informative observations: $\mathrm{N}=3405$; speed: 20.83 sec .

## Outlook

## Things to do

- "tomorrow"
- Document and publish
- in near future
- Add standard options (if/in-able, ml-options, etc.)
- Think about special postestimation
- Robust estimates
- in far future
- Intuitive Interpretation
- Nested logit with fixed effects
- Parametric serial correlation
- Implementation of RE-Models \& Hausman-Test

Thank you!

## Example 1: clogit

. clogit union age grade not_smsa south black, group(idcode) note: multiple positive outcomes within groups encountered.
note: 2744 groups ( 14165 obs) dropped because of all positive or all negative outcomes.
note: black omitted because of no within-group variance.

| Iteration 0: | $\log$ likelihood $=-4521.3385$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Iteration 1: | $\log$ likelihood $=-4516.1404$ |
| Iteration 2: | log likelihood $=-4516.1385$ |
| Iteration 3: | $\log$ likelihood $=-4516.1385$ |

Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression

| Number of obs | $=$ | 12035 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| LR chiz(4) | $=$ | 68.09 |
| Prob > chiz | $=$ | 0.0000 |
| Pseudo RZ | $=$ | 0.0075 |


| union | Coef. | Std. Err. | $z$ | P>\|z| | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| age | .0170301 | .004146 | 4.11 | 0.000 | .0089042 | .0251561 |
| grade | .0853572 | .0418781 | 2.04 | 0.042 | .0032777 | .1674368 |
| not_smsa | .0083678 | .1127963 | 0.07 | 0.941 | -.2127088 | -2294445 |
| south | -.748023 | .1251752 | -5.98 | 0.000 | -.9933619 | -.5026842 |
| black | (omitted) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example 2: femlogit

- femlogit union age grade not_smsa south black, group(idcode) b(0)
note: 2744 groups ( 14165 obs) dropped because of all positive or all negative outcomes.
note: black omitted because of no within-group variance.
Iteration 0: $\quad \log$ likelihood $=\mathbf{- 4 5 2 1 . 3 3 8 5}$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=\mathbf{- 4 5 1 6 . 1 4 0 4}$
Iteration 2: $\quad \log$ likelihood $=\mathbf{- 4 5 1 6 . 1 3 8 5}$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=\mathbf{- 4 5 1 6 . 1 3 8 5}$

| likelihood $=-4516.1385$ |  |  |  | Number of obs Wald chiz(4) Prob $>$ chiz |  | $12035$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| union | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P> $\|z\|$ | [95\% | Interval] |
| age | . 0170301 | . 004146 | 4.11 | 0.000 | . 0089 | . 0251561 |
| grade | . 0853572 | . 0418781 | 2.04 | 0.042 | . 0032 | . 1674368 |
| not_smsa | . 0083678 | . 1127963 | 0.07 | 0.941 | -. 21270 | . 2294445 |
| south <br> black | $-.748023$ <br> (omitted) | . 1251752 | -5.98 | 0.000 | -. 9933 | -. 5026842 |

