Motivation
Model
ineqrbd
Example: wage inequality

# ineqrbd: Regression-based inequality decomposition, following Fields (2003)

Carlo V. Fiorio, University of Milan &
Stephen P. Jenkins, ISER, University of Essex

UKSUG - 10 September 2007

## Motivation of RB decomposition

- Decomposition analysis of inequality is important for understanding the main determinants of inequality and for policy analysis.
- ► The "traditional" approach to the subject was based purely on the analysis of the mathematical properties of inequality indices and is open to the criticism that the formal requirements for exact decomposition are perhaps too demanding for some practical applications.
  - ▶ It allows inequality accounting but not a causal analysis.
- Recent applied work has reawakened interest in inequality decomposition by focusing on the use of regression-based (RB) approaches to avoid some of the restrictions of the traditional methods.

## The Fields' approach to RB decomposition of inequality

Assuming that the income DGP is

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

#### where:

- **y** is an  $n \times 1$  vector of incomes;
- ➤ X is an n × (K + 1) matrix of individual and household characteristics (age, education, household size, residence, etc.) including the constant;
- $\beta$  is a  $(K+1) \times 1$  vector of coefficients and  $\epsilon$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of residuals
- ▶ a sample of observations  $\{y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, 2, ...n\}$  can be used to estimate the model.



## The Fields' approach to RB decomposition of inequality

▶ The linear model (1) can be rewritten as:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 \dots + \beta_K x_K + \epsilon$$
 (2)

$$= \beta_0 + \mathbf{z_1} + \mathbf{z_2}... + \mathbf{z_K} + \epsilon \tag{3}$$

where:

- ▶ each  $z_k$  is a "composite" variable, equal to the product of a regression coefficient and its variable  $(z_k = \beta_k x_k)$ , with k = 0, 1, ...K and  $x_0 = 1$ .
- ▶ NB: For inequality decomposition calculations, the value of  $\beta_0$  is irrelevant as it is constant for every observation.



## The Fields' approach to RB decomposition of inequality

► Following Fields suggestion, the OLS estimate of (3) can be used for inequality decomposition:

$$y = b_0 + \widehat{z}_1 + \widehat{z}_2 ... + \widehat{z}_K + \widehat{\epsilon}_i$$
 (4)

► Alternatively, one may look at the *predicted* income:

$$\widehat{y} = b_0 + \widehat{z}_1 + \widehat{z}_2 \dots + \widehat{z}_K \tag{5}$$

in which case there is no residual term.

•  $\hat{z}_k = b_k x_k$  and  $b_k$  is the OLS estimate of  $\beta_k$ , k = 0, 1, ...K.



### Our focus

- ▶ Neglecting the constant, equations (4) and (5) are of exactly the same form as the equation used by Shorrocks (1982) when deriving rules for inequality decomposition by factor components (e.g. total income is the sum of labour earnings, income from savings and other assets, private and public transfers. How much inequality in total income is attributable to each of these factors?)
- Shorrocks proved that a set of arguably persuasive axioms led to a unique additive and exact decomposition rule, with one term for each factor.
  - ► The decomposition rule did *not* depend on the choice of measure summarizing inequality in total income.



### Our focus

- ▶ Fields (2003) exploited the parallel with the factor decomposition case, and applied the Shorrocks decomposition rule to relate inequality in  $\hat{y}$  to contributions from each of the RHS variables  $(x_k)$ .
- ► There are two main issues to notice about Fields decomposition:
  - 1. One can only relate inequality in y to contributions from each of the **composite variables**  $z_k$ , not  $x_k$ .
  - 2. Decomposing  $\hat{y}$  instead of y does make a difference!

### Our focus

- ▶ ineqrbd uses code from ineqfac by S.P. Jenkins, which performs Shorrocks' factor decomposition.
- ▶ ineqrbd provides a regression-based Shorrocks-type decomposition of a variable labelled *Total*, where *Total* is defined as *yvar* (y), unless the fields option is used, in which case *Total* refers to *yhat*  $\hat{y}$ .
- ▶ In either case, the contribution to inequality in Total of each term is labelled  $x_k$  in the output.

## An example: wage inequality

- ▶ Use LIS sample dataset (US, year 2000. Not a random sample of the original!): how relevant is the contribution of individual characteristics to explain the inequality of log-wages?
- We used gross individual wage of working-age people. A very simple model (i.e. no sample selection considered) is assumed and finally estimated with OLS.

$$Igrosswage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age + \beta_2 age^2 + \beta_3 female + \sum_{k=4}^{7} \beta_k (educ_k) + \epsilon$$

(education=no title, high school, some college, college, postgrad)



## An example: wage inequality

- . use  $\verb|http://www.lisproject.org/dataccess/sample/us00samppp.dta|\\$
- . keep if page>25 & page<65 & pgwage>0
- . gen page2=page\*page
- . gen lpgwage=log(pgwage)
- . recode peduc (-1/8=0)(9=1)(10=2)(11/13=3)(14/16=4)
- . xi: ineqrbd lpgwage page page2 i.psex i.peduc

## The ineqrbd output. OLS regression

```
Results
 xi: inegrbd lpgwage page page2 i.psex i.peduc
                                        (naturally coded; _Ipsex_1 omitted)
. psex
                  Ipsex 1-2
.peduc
                  _Ipeduc_0-4
                                        (naturally coded; _Ipeduc_0 omitted)
Regression of lpgwage on RHS variables
analytic weights assumed)
sum of wgt is 1.1800e+03)
      Source
                                                          Number of obs =
                                                                              1180
                                                          F(7.1172) =
                                                                            40.16
       Model
                214.068896
                                   30.5812709
                                                          Prob > F
                                                                            0.0000
    Residual
                892, 370392
                             1172
                                   .761408184
                                                          R-squared
                                                                           0.1935
                                                          Adi R-squared =
                                                                            0.1887
       Total
                1106.43929
                             1179
                                   .938455715
                                                                            .87259
                                                          ROOT MSE
     1pgwage
                    coef.
                             Std. Err.
                                                             [95% Conf. Interval]
        page
                 .0866839
                             .0229005
                                           3.79
                                                  0.000
                                                             .0417534
                                                                          .1316144
       page2
                 -.000963
                             .0002609
                                          -3.69
                                                  0.000
                                                            -.0014747
                                                                        -.0004512
                -. 5136189
                              .050975
                                                  0.000
   _Ipsex_2
                                         -10.08
                                                            -.6136314
                                                                        -.4136064
  _Ipeduc_1
                   .520429
                             .1311271
                                           3.97
                                                  0.000
                                                             .2631589
                                           5.31
                                                  0.000
   _Ipeduc_2
                  .7096313
                              .133643
                                                             . 4474251
                                           7.14
                                                  0.000
   Ipeduc 3
                             . 1306687
  _Ipeduc_4
                 1.423139
                             .1403917
                                          10.14
                                                  0.000
                                                             1.147692
                                                                          1.698586
                 7.897521
                             .4979311
                                          15.86
                                                  0.000
                                                             6.920585
                                                                          8.874456
```

## The ineqrbd output. Default choice of LHS variable: y

```
Results
Regression-based decomposition of inequality in
                                                   1pgwage
               100*s_f
                              s f
                                       100*m_f/m
                                                      cv_f
                                                              cv_f/cv(total)
Decomp.
residual
               80.6524
                            0.0759
                                         0.0000
                                                   6.64e + 14
                                                                7.05e+15
                2.6546
                            0.0025
                                        36, 3223
                                                     0.2250
                                                                  2.3909
pade
                                                                 -4.6281
page2
               -1.6647
                           -0.0016
                                       -18.2834
                                                    -0.4356
_Ipsex_2
                6.7031
                            0.0063
                                        -2.4484
                                                    -1.0193
                                                                -10.8299
Ipeduc 1
               -4.4383
                           -0.0042
                                         1.4697
                                                     1.5628
                                                                 16.6051
_Ipeduc_2
               -0.9357
                           -0.0009
                                         1.5191
                                                     1.8819
                                                                 19,9956
_Ipeduc_3
                3.7284
                            0.0035
                                         2.7969
                                                     1.4979
                                                                 15.9155
                            0.0125
_Ipeduc_4
               13, 3002
                                         1.8982
                                                     2.5078
                                                                 26,6467
              100,0000
                            0.0941
                                       100,0000
                                                     0.0941
                                                                  1.0000
Total
Note: proportionate contribution of composite var f to inequality of Total.
     s_f = rho_f * sd(f)/sd(Total). S_f = s_f * cv(Total).
     m_f = mean(f). sd(f) = std.dev. of f. cv_f = sd(f)/m_f.
      Total = lpgwage
```

## The ineqrbd output. fields option of LHS variable, $\hat{y}$

```
Results
Regression-based decomposition of inequality in predicted lpgwage
              100*s f
                              s f
                                      100*m f/m
                                                      cv f
                                                             cv_f/cv(total)
Decomp.
              13.7208
                            0.0057
                                       36.3223
                                                     0.2250
                                                                 5.4356
page
pade2
               -8.6042
                           -0.0036
                                      -18.2834
                                                    -0.4356
                                                               -10.5217
               34.6455
                            0.0143
                                       -2.4484
                                                    -1.0193
                                                               -24.6214
_Ipsex_2
_Ipeduc_1
              -22.9398
                           -0.0095
                                        1.4697
                                                     1.5628
                                                                37.7511
Ipeduc 2
               -4.8361
                           -0.0020
                                        1.5191
                                                     1.8819
                                                                45.4591
_Ipeduc_3
              19.2704
                            0.0080
                                        2.7969
                                                     1.4979
                                                                36.1833
Ipeduc_4
               68.7433
                            0.0285
                                        1.8982
                                                     2.5078
                                                                60.5801
Total
              100,0000
                            0.0414
                                      100,0000
                                                     0.0414
                                                                 1.0000
Note: proportionate contribution of composite var f to inequality of Total,
     s_f = rho_f * sd(f)/sd(Total). s_f = s_f * cv(Total).
     m_f = mean(f), sd(f) = std.dev, of f, cv_f = sd(f)/m_f.
     Total = predicted lpgwage
```

## **Options**

- ▶ fields implies decomposition of *predicted yvar*  $(\hat{y})$  rather than of *yvar* (y).
- noregression suppresses reporting of the OLS regression equation used to derive the composite variables and residual.
- ▶ noconstant excludes the intercept term from the regression.
- ▶ stats provides the means, sd, and  $\rho$ , of Total, the residual (unless the fields option is used), and the composite variables.
- ▶ i2 summarises inequality using half the squared coefficient of variation (the Generalized Entropy measure I2), rather than the coefficient of variation (CV).

### Saved results

- ▶ r(total) contains predicted yvar  $(\hat{y})$  if fields used; else contains yvar (y)
- ► r(mean\_tot), r(sd\_tot), r(cv\_tot) mean, standard deviation, CV for Total
- r(sf\_Z0), r(mean\_Z0), proportionate inequality contribution, mean,
- ► r(sd\_Z0), r(cv\_Z0) standard deviation, CV for the residual.
- r(sf\_Z0) is not reported if fields option used.
- ► r(sf\_Zf), r(mean\_Zf), proportionate inequality contribution, mean,
- r(sd\_Zf), r(cv\_Zf) standard deviation, CV for each of variables in rhsvars, where "f" is an integer 1,..., K, indicating the order in which entered in rhsvars.