

Instrumental-variable estimation of large-T panel-data models with common factors

Sebastian Kripfganz¹ Vasilis Sarafidis²

¹University of Exeter Business School, Department of Economics, Exeter, UK

²BI Norwegian Business School, Department of Economics, Oslo, Norway

UK Stata Conference

September 9, 2021

```
ssc install xtivdfreg
net install xtivdfreg, from(http://www.kripfganz.de/stata/)
```

Common factors in panel data models

- Causal inference from regression estimates is often hampered by omitted variables for which no data are observed.
- With panel data, a popular approach to account for omitted variables, unobserved heterogeneity, and cross-sectional dependence is to assume a common-factor structure for the regression errors: $\gamma'_{y,i} \mathbf{f}_{y,t} + \varepsilon_{it}$
 - Factors $\mathbf{f}_{y,t}$ are a compact way of summarizing the unobserved variation over time that is common for all units (countries, firms, individuals, ...).
 - The corresponding factor loadings $\gamma_{y,i}$ allow for heterogeneous effects on the units' outcome.
- These unobserved factors are typically allowed to be correlated with the observed explanatory variables (which may themselves be driven by common factors).
 - Unit-fixed effects and time-fixed effects are special cases.

Common factors in panel data models

- A common approach to estimating common-factor models is the Pesaran (2006) common correlated effects (CCE) estimator:
 - Unobserved common factors are projected out by observed cross-sectional averages.
 - Stata implementation: `xtdcce2` (Ditzen, 2018).
- An alternative is the iterative principal components (IPC) approach of Bai (2009):
 - Principal components are factored out from the error term using nonlinear optimization techniques.
 - Stata implementation: `regife` (Gomez, 2015).
- These approaches suffer from potential shortcomings such as incidental-parameters bias (and size distortions due to ineffective bias correction), the necessity of additional assumptions, computational complexity, and limited flexibility.

Common factors in panel data models

- Norkute, Sarafidis, Yamagata, and Cui (2021) and Cui, Norkute, Sarafidis, and Yamagata (2021) developed a new **two-stage instrumental variables (IV) approach**.
 - In the first stage, principal components analysis (PCA) is used to project out common factors from exogenous covariates (and their lags). The defactored covariates are valid instruments.
 - In the second stage, PCA is applied to extract factors from the first-stage residuals and to defactor the entire model. The same instruments as in the first stage remain valid.
 - The approach is implemented in our new `xtivdfreg` package.
- This IV approach is very flexible (e.g. external instruments, different factors for covariates and error term, heterogeneous slopes) and computationally simple due to a linear objective function.
 - (High-dimensional) fixed effects can be partialled out prior to the estimation; `xtivdfreg` utilizes `reghdfe` (Correia, 2016).
 - Unbalanced panel data set are supported.

Determinants of banks' capital adequacy ratios

```
. xtivdfreg L(0/1).CAR size ROA liquidity, absorb(id t) iv(size ROA liquidity, lags(2)) factmax(3)
```

Defactored instrumental variables estimation

```
Group variable: id                Number of obs      =    16200
Time variable: t                  Number of groups   =     300

Number of instruments =      9          Obs per group   min =     54
Number of factors in X =      1                        avg =     54
Number of factors in u =      1                        max =     54
```

Second-stage estimator (model with homogeneous slope coefficients)

```
-----+-----
          |               Robust
          | Coefficient  std. err.      z    P>|z|    [95% conf. interval]
-----+-----
CAR |
L1. | .3732316   .0315035   11.85  0.000   .3114859   .4349773
    |
size | -2.025311  .1770844  -11.44  0.000  -2.37239  -1.678232
ROA | .1999087   .0295306    6.77  0.000   .1420297   .2577877
liquidity | 1.998128  .4538704    4.40  0.000   1.108559   2.887698
_cons | 29.99368  4.12824    7.27  0.000  21.90248  38.08488
-----+-----
sigma_f | 2.0800886  (std. dev. of factor error component)
sigma_e | 1.115956   (std. dev. of idiosyncratic error component)
rho | .77650224  (fraction of variance due to factors)
-----+-----
```

```
Hansen test of the overidentifying restrictions      chi2(5)      =    7.3151
H0: overidentifying restrictions are valid           Prob > chi2 =    0.1982
```

Determinants of banks' capital adequacy ratios

```
. xtivdfreg l(0/1).CAR size ROA liquidity, absorb(id t) iv(size ROA liquidity, lags(2)) factmax(3) mg
```

Defactored instrumental variables estimation

```
Group variable: id                Number of obs      =    16200
Time variable: t                  Number of groups    =     300

Number of instruments =     9                Obs per group      min =     54
Number of factors in X =     1                avg =     54
                                                max =     54
```

Mean-group estimator (model with heterogeneous slope coefficients)

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
CAR						
L1.	.3751735	.0172599	21.74	0.000	.3413447	.4090022
size	-2.178075	.1683235	-12.94	0.000	-2.507983	-1.848167
ROA	.2142237	.0375084	5.71	0.000	.1407086	.2877388
liquidity	1.456521	.2479702	5.87	0.000	.9705085	1.942534
_cons	31.90236	2.083698	15.31	0.000	27.81838	35.98633

Summary

- The new `xtivdfreg` command enables flexible IV estimation of large- N , large- T panel data models with a multifactor error structure. It can accommodate
 - static and dynamic models,
 - homogeneous and heterogeneous slopes,
 - high-dimensional fixed effects,
 - unbalanced panel data,
 - external instruments,
 - and flexible assumptions about the factor structure of the exogenous covariates.
- For further technical details and examples, see the help file and our forthcoming article in the *Stata Journal* 21 (3).

```
ssc install xtivdfreg  
net install xtivdfreg, from(http://www.kripfganz.de/stata/)
```

```
help xtivdfreg
```

References

- Bai, J. (2009). Panel data models with interactive fixed effects. *Econometrica* 77 (4): 1229–1279.
- Cui, G., M. Norkuté, V. Sarafidis, and T. Yamagata (2021). Two-stage instrumental variable estimation of linear panel data models with interactive effects. *Econometrics Journal*: forthcoming.
- Correia, S. (2016). Estimating multi-way fixed effect models with `reghdfe`. *Proceedings of the 2016 Stata Conference*: Chicago.
- Ditzen, J. (2018). Estimating dynamic common-correlated effects in Stata. *Stata Journal* 18 (3): 585–617.
- Gomez, M. (2015). Stata module to estimate linear models with interactive fixed effects. *Statistical Software Components*: S458042.
- Norkuté, M., V. Sarafidis, T. Yamagata, and G. Cui (2021). Instrumental variable estimation of dynamic linear panel data models with defactored regressors and a multifactor error structure. *Journal of Econometrics* 220 (2): 416–446.
- Pesaran, M. H. (2006). Estimation and inference in large heterogeneous panels with a multifactor error structure. *Econometrica* 74 (4): 967–1010.