Computing poverty measures with survey data

Philippe Van Kerm

CEPS/INSTEAD, Luxembourg & ISER, University of Essex philippe.vankerm@ceps.lu

7th German Stata Users Group Meeting - IZA Bonn, June 26 2009



Measures of poverty

- Poverty line: z
 - absolute approach (z = ζ) vs. relative approach (z = βY^R) (where Y^R is an income reference, typically the mean or median)
- Most classic measure: The low income proportion p = F(z) (headcount ratio)
- Foster-Greer-Thorbecke family:
 - $\operatorname{FGT}(\alpha) = \int \left(1 \frac{x}{z}\right)^{\alpha} f(x) dx \ (\alpha \ge 0)$
 - (sensitive to the size and inequality of income shortfall)
- Watts, Sen-Shorrocks-Thon, median income shortfall (Laeken indicator), Chakravarty, Clark et al. measures, ...



Some popular user written commands

(selected from recent findit poverty)

- STB-48 sg108. Computing poverty indices (help poverty if installed), P. Van Kerm 3/99 pp.29–33
- STB-51 sg117 . Robust std errors for Foster-Greer-Thorbecke poverty indices (help sepov if installed), D. Jolliffe and A. Semykina 9/99 pp.34–36
- povdeco from http://fmwww.bc.edu/RePEc/bocode/p (by S. Jenkins)



DIY

(or Do I really need a special command for this?)

```
summarize y
scalar z = r(mean)/2
gen byte poor = (y<=z)
mean poor
gen fgt2 = poor * (1-y/z)^ 2
mean fgt2</pre>
```

And you get standard error estimates! and it works with survey data! (svy: mean poor fgt2) ... or does it?



DIY (ctd.) (or Do I really need a special command for this?)

First, some measures are not as simple as a mean (typically the Sen-Shorrocks-Thon (SST) index).

Second, in a 'relative approach', z is *estimated* from the data and estimation of z impacts on standard errors in ways not accounted for by mean. (Bootstrap? Jackknife?)



Variance estimation by linearization

- \blacktriangleright θ is the statistic of interest, estimated by $\hat{\theta}$
- A linearization variable Z for θ , is a linear variable $(\hat{Z} = \sum_{i} w_{i}z_{i})$ such that

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{Z}) pprox \operatorname{Var}(\hat{ heta})$$

 Deville (Survey Methodology, 1999) demonstrates that the 'influence function' (IF) of θ is a valid linearization variable, and gives rules to compute the IF for a variety of statistics. (Other linearization approaches have been used too.)



Linearization variables for poverty measures

Berger & Skinner (App. Statist., 2003) use Deville's method to derive the IF for the low income proportion

Ignoring estimation of z

$$z_k = rac{1}{N} \left(\delta \{ y_k \leq z \} - \hat{p}
ight)$$

• With estimation of $\hat{z} = \alpha \hat{Med}$

$$z_k = \frac{1}{N} \left(\left(\delta \{ y_k \le \hat{z} \} - \hat{p} \right) - f(\hat{z}) \frac{\alpha \left(\delta \{ y_k \le \hat{\mathsf{Med}} \} - 0.5 \right)}{f(\hat{\mathsf{Med}})} \right)$$

(similar shape for broader class of measures, also if mean is reference income)



Estimation in Stata Option 1 – DIY

Option 1: do steps in .do or .ado file

- ▶ estimate $\hat{\theta}$ (e.g., poverty y [aw=w] , ...)
- compute the relevant variable \hat{Z} (e.g., gen z = ...)

estimate the standard errors with total (e.g., svy: total z)
(note: this is in effect what sepov does. Also see, e.g.,
svylorenz.)



Estimation in Stata

Option 2 - sit on giant's shoulders: allow 'svy' prefix

Option 2: wrap the estimation step in a program and allow your program to work with the svy prefix

- compute $\hat{\theta}$
- \blacktriangleright create a predict ... , score command which computes the \hat{Z}
- then let svy do its magic or compute the variance 'manually' if user has no survey design feature (it's easy)

Note: Unfortunately, Stata (to date) does not permit programmer to know if user has used the svy prefix... that's a moderate annoyance!



Example: An update to poverty

poverty varname [if] [in] [weight] [, fracmedian(#) fracmean(#) line(#|varname)

. svy : newpoverty nivie , fracmedian(.6) (running newpoverty on estimation sample)

Survey data analysis

Number of strata = 21 Number of PSUs = 242

Number of obs = 5509 Population size = 15833.347 Design df 221 = F(0, 221) = Prob > F=

.

.

	nivie	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
fgt0	_cons	.1928668	.0074118	26.02	0.000	.1782599	.2074737
fgt1	_cons	.0622773	.0042761	14.56	0.000	.0538503	.0707044
fgt2	_cons	.0310448	.0029002	10.70	0.000	.0253293	.0367604
watts	_cons	.0962296	.0079383	12.12	0.000	.0805851	.1118741
pline	_cons	12081.6	262.4697	46.03	0.000	11564.34	12598.86



Illustration with ECHP data

The European Community Household Panel survey is a (panel) survey

- ▶ 15 EU countries, 1994–2001
- stratified design (but info not always available)
- often clustered design (but info not always available)
- sample weights provided (but no details on construction) Here, use only countries for which strata and PSU information is available, and only last wave.



Estimates of low income proportion

Poverty line estimated at 60% of median





Estimates of average income shortfall

Poverty line estimated at 60% of median





Estimates of Watts index

Poverty line estimated at 60% of median





Estimates of low income proportion (again)

Poverty line estimated at 50% of mean





Issue of estimation of poverty line is relevant to inference \dots \dots but linearization methods available (IF approach is convenient and flexible)

Stata implementation in a command with svy prefix is not (very) difficult but pays off (all post-estimation commands become available, all configurations of svyset dealt with automatically)

Prototype of update to poverty almost ready.



This research is part of the MeDIM project (Advances in the Measurement of Discrimination, Inequality and Mobility) supported by the Luxembourg 'Fonds National de la Recherche' (contract FNR/06/15/08) and by core funding for CEPS/INSTEAD by the Ministry of Culture, Higher Education and Research of Luxembourg.

See http://medim.ceps.lu for more information.

