



MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT  
FÜR DEMOGRAFISCHE  
FORSCHUNG

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE  
FOR DEMOGRAPHIC  
RESEARCH

# Data Structures in Stata

Daniel C. Schneider

German Stata Conference, Mannheim, June 7, 2024



# Introduction

Stata Forum user:

"I have a lot of programming experience with C and R,  
so Stata in that regard seems a bit clumsy."

My own take:

"Compared to Python, Stata in some respects can be a bit clumsy  
(and in some other respects it shines)."



# Introduction

- Stata commands can be great (achieve much with little code), but custom operations can be very tedious
- Those things oftentimes can be done well in Mata
- But Mata can't do many Stata things so there is often a lot of back-and-forth
- In general, Stata has many different parts to be learned
- This is also reflected in its data structures



# Introduction

- Can one change/extend/combine Stata's data structures in a better way?
- Real long-term planning would address the Stata-Mata divide and where Mata is going fundamentally
- This presentation: medium-term suggestions
- Surprisingly little discussion about these issues in the Stata community



# Stata's data structures

See help clear:

. clear all is equivalent to:

```
. drop _all           (see [D] drop)
. frames reset       (see [D] frames reset)
. collect clear     (see [TABLES] collect clear)
. label drop _all   (see [D] label)
. matrix drop _all  (see [P] matrix utility)
. scalar drop _all  (see [P] scalar)
. constraint drop _all (see [R] constraint)
. cluster drop _all (see [MV] cluster utility)
. file close _all   (see [P] file)
. postutil clear    (see [P] postfile)
. _return drop _all (see [P] _return)
. discard           (see [P] discard)
. program drop _all (see [P] program)
. timer clear       (see [P] timer)
. putdocx clear     (see [RPT] putdocx begin)
. putpdf clear      (see [RPT] putpdf begin)
. mata: mata clear  (see [M-3] mata clear)
. python clear      (see [P] PyStata integration)
. java clear        (see [P] Java integration)
```



# Stata's data structures

```
. drop _all (see [D] drop)
. frames reset (see [D] frames reset)
. collect clear (see [TABLES] collect clear)
. label drop _all (see [D] label)
. matrix drop _all (see [P] matrix utility)
. scalar drop _all (see [P] scalar)
. constraint drop _all (see [R] constraint)
. cluster drop _all (see [MV] cluster utility)
. file close _all (see [P] file)
. postutil clear (see [P] postfile)
. _return drop _all (see [P] _return)
. discard (see [P] discard)
. program drop _all (see [P] program)
. timer clear (see [P] timer)
. putdocx clear (see [RPT] putdocx begin)
. putpdf clear (see [RPT] putpdf begin)
. mata: mata clear (see [M-3] mata clear)
. python clear (see [P] PyStata integration)
. java clear (see [P] Java integration)
```

frames / datasets contain:  
variable and data set labels  
variable and data set characteristics  
display format information

linked frames and alias variables

drop ops include:  
e(), r(), s()  
graphs  
classes (can contain arrays)

numeric/string/pointer matrices  
objects (official Stata or user-defined)

not in the list:  
macros!



## Preview of Suggestions

- Improve Stata matrices
- New table-like object (here called "tabular") to complement collections
- Improve conversions:  
Dataset/frame <> collection <> tabular <> Stata matrices
- Introduce a new list data structure
  - Many use cases, see below



## Suggestion: Stata Matrices and Mata

- New command/prefix : Mata environment but with immediate access to Stata matrices

```
. matmata A[4::8, .] = inv(B) [7::rows(B)] * r(C) ' * mata(D)
```
- Introduce Stata string matrices ("smatrix") to improve string processing?

```
. smatmata A = colshape(tokens("`mylocal'"), 3) '
```
- Problem of cumulating matrices  
not possible with collapse, statsby





## Before collect

- How I envisioned tables in Stata before `collect`:
  - Create new `table` object that can be populated and that can format and export information
  - **All tabular Stata output** should be generated by populating such an object and then calling a `table.display()` method
  - **Every output table** should be returned in new `r()/e()` return type `table`



## Along Came Collections...

- Ingenious implementation, game changer for tabular output production
- `collect` wish list:
  - Make faster
  - Show contents in tag form as data set  
(=> user-written `collect_to_frame`, Daniel Alves Fernandes, GitHub)
  - Generally allow string cells
  - Make work with tempnames
  - Make data accessible, e.g., conversion ("export") to Stata data set
  - Make formatting/layout easier, but how?  
I propose conversion to a new object `tabular` instead



## Suggestion: New **tabular** Object

- Primary purpose: "freeze" a collection layout, apply final touches, then save or export
- Why needed:
  - Final formatting / polishing
  - Much easier to use than collections
  - Set values
- Data type by cell
- Conversion from collection: `. collect convert tabular ...`
- Conversion from dataset: `. mktabular varlist ...`
- new `r()/e()` return types `tabular` and `collection`



## Suggestion: Easy Conversions

Conversions among datasets <> collections <> tabular <> matrices

- Datasets <> matrices
  - existing `mkmat`, `svmat`; problem: matrix labels
  - Some benefits: save matrices as `dta`; view in data browser
- Datasets <> collections
  - Allows math ops on or plain setting of collection items:  
first convert collection=>dataset, calculate and set items, then convert back)
  - Conversely, collections can be used to do data set calculations:  
create collection (e.g., using `table`), then convert back to dataset



## Suggestion: Easy Conversions

Conversions among datasets <> collections <> tabular <> matrices

- Collections <> tabular
  - (was discussed already)
- Matrices <> collections
  - A lot of old code use matrices for tabular display
  - Conversion matrix=>collection done via `collect`  
Would be nice to be able to collect matrices directly, without storing in `r()`
  - Conversely: New command `collect convert matrix?`



# Implementing Conversions: Changes to Dataset

- One or more levels of supercolumns (supervariables) and superrows)
- Implement as labels only?
  - . label rows 1-10 "2001-2010" 11-20 "2011-2020"
  - . label columns mpg-rep78 "Covariate set A" ///  
          headroom-length "Covariate set B"
  - . label columns mpg-length "All covariates" , level(3)
- If supercols/rows have more meaning, this would be more difficult:
  - . supercol generate set\_A = mpg-rep78
  - . supercol label set\_A "Covariate set A"
  - . regress price [set\_A]
- Get inspiration from axis indexes in Python pandas dataframes?



# Implementing Conversions: Changes to Dataset

- New mixed (num/str) variable type  
syntax `varlist(mixed)`
- Maybe not allow mixed data types in expressions? Just in `generate/replace` with numeric / string literals?

```
. tabular export frame , name(myframe, replace)
. frame myframe           // all variables are potentially of mixed type
. gen double tmp = mycol // generates missings for string values of mycol
. replace tmp = tmp^2     // some transform
. replace mycol = tmp if !missing(tmp)
. drop tmp
. frame export tabular , name(mytab, replace)
. tabular mytab
. tabular export excel using [...]
```



## Suggestion: New `list` Object in Stata

- `list`: like an array, but can contain anything
- Here: matrix, dataset / frame, scalar, local, collection?, graph?, ...  
What about Mata matrices/objects?
- Implementation suggestions:
  - Allow nesting: list of lists
  - Entries are named, index by name or numerically
  - Can be saved in a `.stlist` file





## Suggestion: New `list` Object in Stata

- Use cases:
  - Saving the "workspace" (ex Mata)  
Saving a set of results in one place (estimates+graphs+tables+...)
  - Accumulating matrices
  - Storing / saving sets of estimation results  
A single set of `e()`-results can be stored in a list  
Then a list of lists can be built up
  - New `r()/e()` return type `list`
  - ... and probably many more



## Suggestion: New `list` Object in Stata

### Fantasy syntax:

```
. stlist [define] L = []
. stlist [define] L[1] = matrix(A)
. matrix Ainv = invsym(L[1])
. stlist [define] L[2]      = frame(auto)
. stlist [define] L[3..10] = frame(_all)
. stlist [define] L[8..10] = estim(_all), replace
. stlist K = L[3..7] // 5 different frames
. stlist [define] L[9] = stlist(K) // nesting
. frame copy L[K[1]] newframe
. stlist restoreelems L[K[2]] , as(newframe, replace)

. stlist describe
. stlist save L using myfile
  // saves myfile.stlist
. clear all
. stlist use myfile.stlist
. stlist restoreelems L , replace
. stlist M = [] , holds(estimated)
  // list restricted to
  // certain elems
```



## Summary of Suggestions

- Improve Stata matrices
- New table-like object (here called "tabular") to complement collections
- Improve conversions:  
Dataset/frame <> collection <> tabular <> Stata matrices
- Introduce a new list data structure



Thank you  
schneider@demogr.mpg.de



## Suggestion: Stata Matrices and Mata

- New command/prefix : Mata environment but with immediate access to Stata matrices

```
. matmata A[4::8, .] = inv(B) [7::rows(B)] * r(C) ' * mata(D)
```

- Currently would be something like

```
. mata : st_replacematrix(A , (st_matrix(A) [1::3, .] /  
    inv(st_matrix(B) [7::rows(st_matrix(B))]) *  
    st_matrix("r(C)") ' * D))
```

- What about row/col labels?
- Merge indexing features of both types of matrices?



## Suggestion: Stata Matrices and Mata

- Introduce Stata string matrices ("smatrix") to improve string processing?
  - . smatrix A = J(3, 3, "abc")
  - . smatrix A = J(20000, 1, "") // preallocate
- Command analogous to -matmata- for Mata-like syntax for string matrices
  - . smatmata A = colshape(tokens("`mylocal'"), 3)'
- Note: problem of cumulating matrices: not possible with collapse, statsby