

# Testing for Serial Correlation, Spatial Autocorrelation and Random Effects Using Panel Data\*

by

**Badi H. Baltagi**

Department of Economics, Texas A&M University,  
College Station, Texas 77843-4228, USA  
(979) 845-7380  
badi@econmail.tamu.edu

**Seuck Heun Song, Byoung Cheol Jung**

Department of Statistics, Korea University,  
Sungbuk-Ku, Seoul, 136-701, Korea  
ssong@mail.korea.ac.kr

bcjung@hitel.net

and

**Won Koh**

Center for DM&S, Korea Institute for Defense Analyses (KIDA)  
Cheong Ryang P.O Box 250, Seoul, 130-650, Korea

kohwon@kida.re.kr

September 2003

**Keywords:** Panel data, Spatial error correlation, serial correlation, Lagrange Multiplier tests, Likelihood Ratio tests.

**JEL classification:** C23, C12

---

\*This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2002-042-C00008).

## ABSTRACT

This paper considers a spatial panel data regression model with serial correlation on each spatial unit over time as well as spatial dependence between the spatial units at each point in time. In addition, the model allows for heterogeneity across the spatial units using random effects. The paper then derives several Lagrange Multiplier tests for this panel data regression model including a joint test for serial correlation, spatial autocorrelation and random effects. These tests draw upon two strands of earlier work. The first is the LM tests for the spatial error correlation model discussed in Anselin and Bera (1998) and in the panel data context by Baltagi, Song and Koh (2003). The second is the LM tests for the error component panel data model with serial correlation derived by Baltagi and Li (1995). Hence the joint LM test derived in this paper encompasses those derived in both strands of earlier works. In fact, in the context of our general model, the earlier LM tests become marginal LM tests that ignore either serial correlation over time or spatial error correlation. The paper then derives conditional LM and LR tests that do not ignore these correlations and contrast them with their marginal LM and LR counterparts. The small sample performance of these tests is investigated using Monte Carlo experiments. As expected, ignoring any correlation when it is significant can lead to misleading inference.

# 1 Introduction

Spatial models deal with correlation across spatial units usually in a cross-section setting, see Anselin (1988). Panel data models allow the researcher to control for heterogeneity across these units, see Baltagi (2001). Spatial panel models can control for both heterogeneity and spatial correlation, see Baltagi, Song and Koh (2003). Recent spatial panel data applications in economics include household level survey data from villages observed over time to study nutrition, see Case (1991); per-capita expenditures on police to study their effect on reducing crime across counties, see Kelejian and Robinson (1992); the productivity of public capital like roads and highways in the private sector across U.S. states, see Holtz-Eakin (1994); hedonic housing equations using residential sales, see Bell and Bockstael (2000); unemployment clustering with respect to different social and economic metrics, see Conley and Topa (2002); and spatial price competition in the wholesale gasoline markets, see Pinkse, Slade and Brett (2002). This paper adds another dimension to the correlation in the error structure. Namely, serial correlation in the remainder error term. The spatial error component model assumes that the only correlation over time is due to the presence of the same region effect across the panel. This may be a restrictive assumption in the analysis of panel data, such as investment across regions, where an unobserved shock in this period will affect the behavioral relationship for at least the next few periods. Ignoring the serial correlation in the error results in consistent, but inefficient estimates of the regression coefficients and biased standards errors, see Baltagi (2001). This paper considers a spatial panel data regression model with serial correlation on each spatial unit over time as well as spatial dependence between the spatial units at each point in time.

For the panel data model with no spatial effects, Baltagi and Li (1995) addressed the problem of jointly testing for serial correlation and individual effects. Testing for spatial dependence has been extensively studied by Anselin (1988, 1999) and Anselin and Bera (1998), to mention a few. Baltagi, Song and Koh (2003) considered the problem of jointly testing for random region effects in the panel as well as spatial correlation across these regions. However, the last study did not consider the added problem of serial correlation in the remainder error term. This paper generalizes the previous studies by deriving test statistics for the spatial panel data model with serial correlation. In particular, this paper derives joint and conditional LM and LR tests and studies their small sample properties using Monte Carlo experiments. One directional tests that test for spatial error correlation, for e.g., ignoring the presence of serial correlation over time and random effects among the spatial units could yield misleading inference when one or both of the left out components are significant. Conditional LM tests are proposed and their performance is contrasted with the corresponding marginal counterparts. Our Monte Carlo results show that these conditional tests guard against possible misspecification.

# 2 The Model

Consider the following panel data regression model

$$y_{ti} = X'_{ti}\beta + u_{ti}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $y_{ti}$  is the observation on the  $i$ th region for the  $t$ th time period,  $X_{ti}$  denotes the  $k \times 1$  vector of observations on the nonstochastic regressors and  $u_{ti}$  is the regression disturbance. In vector form, the disturbance vector of (2.1) is assumed to have random region effects, spatially autocorrelated residual disturbances and a first order autoregressive remainder disturbance term:

$$u_t = \mu + \epsilon_t, \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$\epsilon_t = \lambda W \epsilon_t + \nu_t, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_t = \rho \nu_{t-1} + e_t \quad (2.3)$$

where  $u'_t = (u_{t1}, \dots, u_{tN})$  and  $\epsilon_t, \nu_t$  and  $e_t$  are similarly defined.  $\mu' = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N)$  denote the vector of random region effects which are assumed to be  $IIN(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$ .  $\lambda$  is the scalar spatial autoregressive coefficient with  $|\lambda| < 1$ , while  $\rho$  is the time-wise serial correlation coefficient satisfying  $|\rho| < 1$ .  $W$  is a known  $N \times N$  spatial weight matrix whose diagonal elements are zero.  $W$  also satisfies the condition that  $I_N - \lambda W$  is nonsingular, where  $I_N$  is an identity matrix of dimension  $N$ .  $e_{ti} \sim IIN(0, \sigma_e^2)$  and  $\nu_{i,0} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2/(1 - \rho^2))$ . We assume that  $\mu$  and  $\epsilon$  are independent. One can rewrite (2.3) as

$$\epsilon_t = (I_N - \lambda W)^{-1} \nu_t = B^{-1} \nu_t \quad (2.4)$$

where  $B = I_N - \lambda W$ . The model (2.1) can be rewritten in matrix notation as

$$y = X\beta + u \quad (2.5)$$

where  $y$  is of dimension  $NT \times 1$ ,  $X$  is  $NT \times k$ ,  $\beta$  is  $k \times 1$  and  $u$  is a  $NT \times 1$ .  $X$  is assumed to be of full column rank and its elements are assumed to be bounded in absolute value. The disturbance term can be written in vector form as

$$u = (\nu_T \otimes I_N) \mu + (I_T \otimes B^{-1}) \nu \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\nu' = (\nu'_1, \nu'_2, \dots, \nu'_T)$  and  $u$  is similarly defined.  $\nu_T$  is a vector of ones of dimension  $T$ ,  $I_T$  is an identity matrix of dimension  $T$  and  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product. Under these assumptions, the variance-covariance matrix of  $u$  can be written as

$$\Omega = \sigma_\mu^2 (J_T \otimes I_N) + (V \otimes (B' B)^{-1}) \quad (2.7)$$

where  $J_T$  is a matrix of ones of dimension  $T$ , and  $V$  is the familiar AR(1) variance-covariance matrix of dimension  $T$ ,

$$V = E(\nu \nu') = \sigma_e^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad V_1 = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \dots & \rho^{T-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho^{T-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho^{T-1} & \rho^{T-2} & \rho^{T-3} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \end{pmatrix} V_1.$$

It is well established that the Prais-Winsten transformation

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

transforms the usual AR(1) model into serially uncorrelated classical disturbances with  $CV C' = \sigma_e^2 I_T$ . For panel data, this  $C$  transformation has to be applied repeatedly for  $N$  individuals. From (2.5), the transformed spatial panel data regression disturbances are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &= (C \otimes I_N)u = (C \iota_T \otimes I_N)\mu + (C \otimes B^{-1})\nu \\ &= (1 - \rho)(\iota_T^\alpha \otimes I_N)\mu + (C \otimes B^{-1})\nu \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $C \iota_T = (1 - \rho)\iota_T^\alpha$  with  $\iota_T^\alpha = (\alpha, \iota_{T-1}')$  and  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}}$ .

Therefore, the variance-covariance matrix of the Prais-Winsten transformed spatial panel data model is given by

$$\Omega^* = E(u^* u^{*'}) = (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 (\iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'} \otimes I_N) + \sigma_e^2 (I_T \otimes (B' B)^{-1}) \quad (2.11)$$

since  $(C \otimes B^{-1})E(\nu \nu')(C \otimes B^{-1})' = \sigma_e^2 (I_T \otimes (B' B)^{-1})$ . Replace  $\iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'}$  by its idempotent counterpart  $d^2 \bar{J}_T^\alpha$ , where  $\bar{J}_T^\alpha = \iota_T^\alpha \iota_T^{\alpha'} / d^2$  and  $d^2 = \iota_T^{\alpha'} \iota_T^\alpha = \alpha^2 + (T - 1)$ . Replace  $I_T$  by  $E_T^\alpha + \bar{J}_T^\alpha$ , where  $E_T^\alpha = I_T - \bar{J}_T^\alpha$  and collect like terms, see Baltagi and Li (1995), we get

$$\Omega^* = \bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes [d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}] + E_T^\alpha \otimes [\sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}] \quad (2.12)$$

One can easily verify that

$$\Omega^{*-1} = \bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes Z + E_T^\alpha \otimes [(\sigma_e^2)^{-1} (B' B)] \quad (2.13)$$

where  $Z = [d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}]^{-1}$ .

Note that  $|\Omega^*| = |d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}| |\sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}|^{(T-1)}$ , see Magnus (1982). Also,  $\Omega$  in (2.7) is related to  $\Omega^*$  in (2.11) by  $\Omega^* = (C \otimes I_N)\Omega(C' \otimes I_N)$  with  $|C| = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$  and  $|I_N \otimes C| = |C|^N$ . Under the assumption of normality, the log-likelihood function for this model can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma_e^2, \rho, \lambda) &= Const + \frac{1}{2} N \ln(1 - \rho^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |d^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B' B)^{-1}| \\ &\quad - \frac{N(T-1)}{2} \ln(\sigma_e^2) + (T-1) \ln |B| - \frac{1}{2} u^{*'} \Omega^{*-1} u^* \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where  $u^*$  is given by (2.10) and  $\Omega^{*-1}$  is given by (2.13).

### 3 Test Statistics

The hypotheses under the consideration in this model are the following:

- (J)  $H_0^a$ :  $\lambda = \rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ , this is the joint hypothesis that there is no spatial or serial error correlation and no random region effects. The alternative  $H_1^a$  is that at least one component is not zero, so that there may be serial or spatial error correlation or random region effects.
- (M.1)  $H_0^b$ :  $\lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^b$ :  $\lambda \neq 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional marginal test for no spatial error correlation ignoring the presence of serial correlation and random region effects.
- (M.2)  $H_0^c$ :  $\rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^c$ :  $\rho \neq 0$  (assuming  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional marginal test for no serial correlation ignoring the presence of spatial error correlation or random region effects.
- (M.3)  $H_0^d$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \lambda = 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^d$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \lambda = 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional marginal test for no random region effects ignoring the presence of serial or spatial error correlation.
- (M.4)  $H_0^e$ :  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^e$  is that at least one component of  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  is not zero (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional marginal test for no spatial or serial error correlation ignoring the presence of random region effects.
- (M.5)  $H_0^f$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^f$  is that at least one component of  $\lambda$  or  $\sigma_\mu^2$  is not zero (assuming  $\rho = 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional marginal test for no spatial error correlation or random region effects ignoring the presence of serial correlation.
- (M.6)  $H_0^g$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda = 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^g$  is that at least one component of  $\sigma_\mu^2$  or  $\rho$  is not zero (assuming  $\lambda = 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional marginal test for no serial correlation or random region effects ignoring the presence of spatial error correlation.
- (C.1)  $H_0^h$ :  $\lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^h$ :  $\lambda \neq 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional conditional test for no spatial error correlation assuming the presence of both serial correlation and random region effects.
- (C.2)  $H_0^i$ :  $\rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^i$ :  $\rho \neq 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional conditional test for no serial correlation assuming the presence of both spatial error correlation and random region effects.
- (C.3)  $H_0^j$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ), and the alternative is  $H_1^j$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). This is a one-dimensional conditional test for zero random region effects assuming the presence of both serial and spatial error correlation.

(C.4)  $H_0^k$ :  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^k$  is that at least one component of  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  is not zero (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional conditional test for no serial or spatial error correlation assuming the presence of random region effects.

(C.5)  $H_0^l$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^l$  is that at least one component of  $\lambda$  or  $\sigma_\mu^2$  is not zero (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional conditional test for no spatial error correlation or random region effects assuming the presence of serial error correlation.

(C.6)  $H_0^m$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$ ), and the alternative  $H_1^m$  is that at least one component of  $\sigma_\mu^2$  or  $\rho$  is not zero (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). This is a two-dimensional conditional test for no random region effects or serial error correlation assuming the presence of spatial error correlation.

In the next subsections, we derive the corresponding LM tests for these hypotheses and we compare their performance with the corresponding LR tests using Monte Carlo experiments.

### 3.1 Joint Tests for $\rho = \lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$

The joint LM test statistic for testing  $H_0^a$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \lambda = \rho = 0$  is given by

$$LM_J = \frac{NT^2}{2(T-1)(T-2)}[A^2 - 4AF + 2TF^2] + \frac{N^2T}{b}H^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $A = \frac{\tilde{u}'(J_T \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} - 1$ ,  $F = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}'(G \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \right)$  and  $H = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}'(I_T \otimes (W' + W))\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \right)$  with  $b = \text{tr}(W + W')^2/2 = \text{tr}(W^2 + W'W)$  and  $\tilde{u}$  denoting the OLS residuals.  $G$  is the bidiagonal matrix with bidiagonal elements all equal to one. The derivation of this  $LM$  test statistic is given in Appendix A.1. Under  $H_0^a$ ,  $LM_J$  is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_3^2$ . It is important to note that the large sample distribution of the LM test statistics derived in this paper are not formally established, but are likely to hold under similar sets of low level assumptions developed in Kelejian and Prucha (2001) for the Moran I-test statistic and its close cousins the LM tests for spatial error correlation. See also Pinkse (1998, 1999) for general conditions under which Moran flavoured tests for spatial correlation have a limiting normal distribution in the presence of nuisance parameters in six frequently encountered spatial models.

We also derive the joint LR test for  $H_0^a$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \lambda = \rho = 0$ . This is given by

$$LR_J = 2(L_U - L_R), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_U &= \text{Const.} + \frac{N}{2} \ln(1 - \rho^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |d^2(1 - \rho)^2 \phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}| \\ &\quad - \frac{NT}{2} \ln(\sigma_e^2) + (T-1) \ln |B| - \frac{1}{2} u' \Omega^{-1} u \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

see Appendix A.2. Here  $\phi = \sigma_\mu^2/\sigma_e^2$ ,  $d^2 = \alpha^2 + (T - 1)$  and  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}}$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^a$  is given by

$$L_R = Const. - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \tilde{\sigma}_e^2 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}_e^2} \tilde{u}'\tilde{u}. \quad (3.4)$$

Parameters of the unrestricted log-likelihood are estimated using the scoring method. This estimation procedure is described in Appendix A.2. Under the null hypothesis, the variance-covariance matrix reduces to  $\Omega^* = \Omega = \sigma_e^2 I_{TN}$  and the restricted MLE of  $\beta$  is  $\tilde{\beta}_{OLS}$ , so that  $\tilde{u} = y - X\tilde{\beta}_{OLS}$  are the OLS residuals and  $\tilde{\sigma}_e^2 = \tilde{u}'\tilde{u}/NT$ . This  $LR_J$  test is also asymptotically distributed as  $\chi^2$  with 3 degrees of freedom.

### 3.2 One-Dimensional Marginal Tests

Under  $H_0^b$ :  $\lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ), the Lagrange Multiplier test, call it  $LM_\lambda = \frac{N^2T}{b}H^2$  is the second term of (3.1). This is the marginal LM test for no spatial error correlation assuming no serial correlation or random region effects. This is in fact the LM test for spatial error correlation derived by Anselin (1988). Similarly, the marginal LM test for  $H_0^c$ :  $\rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ), call it  $LM_\rho = \frac{NT^2}{(T-1)}F^2$ , is identical for large  $T$  to the third term in brackets of (3.1). This is the marginal LM test for no serial correlation assuming no spatial error correlation or random region effects. This is in fact the LM test for serial correlation derived by Breusch and Godfrey (1981) in time-series analysis. Finally, the marginal LM test for  $H_0^d$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = \lambda = 0$ ), call it  $LM_\mu = \frac{NT}{2(T-1)}A^2$  is identical for large  $T$  to the first term in brackets of (3.1). This is the marginal LM test for no random region effects assuming no spatial or serial error correlation. This is in fact the LM test for zero random effects derived by Breusch and Pagan (1980) for the error component model.

### 3.3 Two-Dimensional Marginal Tests

Consider the joint hypothesis  $H_0^e$ :  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ). It is easy to show that the corresponding LM test is given by  $LM_{\lambda\rho} = LM_\lambda + LM_\rho$ , see Appendix A.3. This is the joint LM test for no spatial or serial error correlation assuming no random region effects. Similarly, for the joint hypothesis  $H_0^f$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho = 0$ ), the corresponding LM test derived in Appendix A.4, is given by  $LM_{\lambda\mu} = LM_\lambda + LM_\mu$ . This is the joint LM test for no spatial error correlation or random region effects assuming no serial correlation. This is identical to the joint LM test derived by Baltagi, Song and Koh (2003) for the spatial error component model.

Finally, for the joint hypothesis  $H_0^g$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda = 0$ ), the corresponding LM test derived in Appendix A.5, is given by  $LM_{\mu\rho} = \frac{NT^2}{2(T-1)(T-2)}[A^2 - 4AF + 2TF^2]$ . This is the joint LM test for no random region effects or serial error correlation assuming no spatial error correlation. This is identical to the joint LM test derived by Baltagi and Li (1995) for the error component model with serial correlation.



### 3.4 One-Dimensional Conditional Tests

Consider the null hypothesis  $H_0^h$ :  $\lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\lambda/\rho\mu}$ , tests for zero spatial error correlation assuming the existence of serial error correlation and random region effects. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^h$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = (J_T \otimes I_N)\sigma_\mu^2 + V \otimes I_N$  where  $V$  was defined in (2.8). In this case,  $\Omega_0^{-1} = (V^{-1} - cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1}) \otimes I_N$  where  $c = \frac{\sigma_e^2\sigma_\mu^2}{d^2(1-\rho)^2\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2}$ . The score under the null hypothesis, derived in Appendix A.6, is given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}|_{H_0^h} = \hat{D}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}' [V^{-1} - 2c V^{-1}J_TV^{-1} + c^2[V^{-1}J_T]^2V^{-1}] \otimes (W' + W)\hat{u} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denote the restricted maximum likelihood residuals under  $H_0^h$ , i.e., under a serially correlated error component model. The resulting LM statistic is given by

$$LM_{\lambda/\rho\mu} = \frac{\hat{D}(\lambda)^2}{b(T - 2cg + c^2g^2)} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $b$  was defined below (3.1) and  $g = \text{tr}(V^{-1}J_T) = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2}(1-\rho)\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}$ . Under the null hypothesis, the LM statistic is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_1^2$ .

We can also get the LR test under  $H_0^h$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^h$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_R = & \text{Const.} + \frac{N}{2} \ln(1 - \tilde{\rho}^2) - \frac{N}{2} \left\{ d^2(1 - \tilde{\rho})^2\tilde{\phi} + 1 \right\} \\ & - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \tilde{\sigma}_e^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}'\Omega^{-1}\tilde{u} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and the unrestricted likelihood  $L_U$  is the same (3.3).

Next, we consider the null hypothesis  $H_0^i$ :  $\rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\rho/\lambda\mu}$  tests for zero serial error correlation assuming the existence of spatial error correlation and random region effects. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^i$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$  where  $B$  is defined in (2.4). In this case,  $\Omega_0^{-1} = (\sigma_e^2)^{-1} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z$ , where  $Z = [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B'B)^{-1}]^{-1}$ . The score under the null hypothesis, derived in Appendix A.7, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho}|_{H_0^i} = & \hat{D}(\rho) = -\frac{T-1}{T}(\hat{\sigma}_e^2 \text{tr}(Z(B'B)^{-1}) - N) \\ & + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{2}\hat{u}' \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^4}(E_T G E_T) \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}(\bar{J}_T G E_T) \otimes Z \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}(E_T G \bar{J}_T) \otimes Z + (\bar{J}_T G \bar{J}_T) \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1}Z \right)\hat{u} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denote the the restricted maximum likelihood residuals under the null hypothesis  $H_0^i$ , i.e., under the one-way spatial error component model. The resulting LM statistic is

given by

$$LM_{\rho/\lambda\mu} = \hat{D}^2(\rho)J_{33}^{-1} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $J_{33}^{-1}$  is the (3,3) element of the inverse of the information matrix  $\hat{J}_\theta$  evaluated under  $H_0^i$ . The latter is given by

$$\hat{J}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N(T-1)}{\hat{\sigma}_e^4} + d_1 \right) & \frac{T}{2}d_2 & \frac{(T-1)}{T}(\hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_1 - \frac{N}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}) & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(T-1)}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2} d_3 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_4 \right] \\ \frac{T}{2}d_2 & \frac{T^2}{2} \text{tr}[Z]^2 & (T-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_2 & \frac{T\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{2}d_5 \\ \frac{(T-1)}{T}(\hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_1 - \frac{N}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}) & (T-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_2 & J_{\rho\rho} & \frac{T-1}{T}(\sigma_e^4 d_4 - d_3) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(T-1)}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2} d_3 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2 d_4 \right] & \frac{T\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{2}d_5 & \frac{T-1}{T}(\hat{\sigma}_e^4 d_4 - d_3) & \frac{1}{2}[(T-1)d_6 + \hat{\sigma}_e^4 d_7] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_e^2$  are the restricted maximum likelihood estimates of  $\sigma_\mu^2$  and  $\sigma_e^2$  and

$$\hat{J}_{\rho\rho} = \frac{N}{T^2}(T^3 - 3T^2 + 2T + 2) + \frac{2(T-1)^2\hat{\sigma}_e^4}{T^2}d_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}]^2 \\ d_2 &= \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}Z] \\ d_3 &= \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] \\ d_4 &= \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}Z(B'B)^{-1}] \\ d_5 &= \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}Z] \\ d_6 &= \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]^2 \\ d_7 &= \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Under the null hypothesis, the LM statistic is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_1^2$ .

We can also get the LR test under  $H_0^i$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^i$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_R &= \text{Const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}_e^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln[|T\tilde{\phi}I_N + (B'B)^{-1}|] + (T-1) \ln |B| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}'\Omega^{-1}\tilde{u} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and  $L_U$  is the same as (3.3).

Finally, we consider the null hypothesis  $H_0^j: \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\mu/\rho\lambda}$ , tests for zero random region effects assuming the existence of spatial and serial error correlation. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^j$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$  where  $V_\rho$  is

defined in (2.8). In this case,  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)$ . The score under the null hypothesis, derived in Appendix A.8, is given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} = \hat{D}(\sigma_\mu^2) = -\frac{g}{2} \text{tr}(B'B) + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' \left[ V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)^2 \right] \hat{u} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $g = \text{tr}(V^{-1} J_T)$  was defined below (3.6) and  $\hat{u}$  denote the restricted maximum likelihood residuals under  $H_0^j$ , i.e., under a spatial error component model with serially correlated remainder error. The resulting LM statistic is given by

$$LM_{\mu/\lambda\rho} = \hat{D}(\sigma_\mu^2) J_{22}^{-1} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $J_{22}^{-1}$  is the (2,2) element of the inverse of the information matrix  $\hat{J}_\theta$  evaluated under  $H_0^j$ . The latter is given by

$$\hat{J}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} & \frac{g \text{tr}[B'B]}{2\sigma_e^2} & \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} & \frac{Td_3}{2\sigma_e^2} \\ \frac{g \text{tr}[B'B]}{2\sigma_e^2} & \frac{g^2 \text{tr}[B'B]^2}{2} & \frac{\text{tr}(B'B)}{\sigma_e^2(1+\rho)} [(2-T)\rho^2 + (T-1) + \rho] & \frac{g}{2} \text{tr}[W'B + B'W] \\ \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} & \frac{\text{tr}(B'B)}{\sigma_e^2(1+\rho)} [(2-T)\rho^2 + (T-1) + \rho] & \frac{N}{(1-\rho^2)^2} (3\rho^2 - \rho^2 T + T - 1) & \frac{\rho d_3}{1-\rho^2} \\ \frac{Td_3}{2\sigma_e^2} & \frac{g}{2} \text{tr}[W'B + B'W] & \frac{\rho d_3}{1-\rho^2} & \frac{Td_6}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $d_3 = \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]$  and  $d_6 = \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]^2$  were defined below (3.10). Under the null hypothesis, the LM statistic is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_1^2$ .

We can get the LR test under  $H_0^j$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^j$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_R &= \text{Const.} + \frac{N}{2} \ln(1 - \rho^2) - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \tilde{\sigma}_e^2 + T \ln |B| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}' \Omega^{-1} \tilde{u} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and  $L_U$  is the same as (3.3).

### 3.5 Two-Dimensional Conditional Tests

Consider the joint hypothesis  $H_0^k: \lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\lambda\rho/\mu}$  tests for zero spatial and serial error correlation assuming the existence of random region effects. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^k$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_{NT}$ . It is the familiar form of the one-way error component model with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = (\sigma_\mu^2)^{-1} (\bar{J}_T \otimes I_N) + (\sigma_e^2)^{-1} (E_T \otimes I_N)$ , where  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$ . The scores under the null hypothesis, derived in Appendix A.9, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho}|_{H_0^k} &= \hat{D}(\rho) = \frac{N(T-1)}{T} \left( \frac{\hat{\sigma}_1^2 - \hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{2} \hat{u}'[(\bar{J}_T/\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + E_T/\hat{\sigma}_e^2)G(\bar{J}_T/\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + E_T/\hat{\sigma}_e^2) \otimes I_N] \hat{u}\end{aligned}\quad (3.16)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}|_{H_0^k} = \hat{D}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{u}' \left[ \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} (\bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W)) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2} (E_T \otimes (W' + W)) \right] \hat{u} \quad (3.17)$$

and the information matrix is given by

$$\hat{J}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} + \frac{T-1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^4} \right) & \frac{NT}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^4} & \frac{N(T-1)}{T} \hat{\sigma}_e^2 \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^4} \right) & 0 \\ \frac{NT}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^4} & \frac{NT^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^4} & \frac{N(T-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} & 0 \\ \frac{N(T-1)}{T} \hat{\sigma}_e^2 \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_e^4} \right) & \frac{N(T-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} & \hat{J}_{\rho\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (T-1)b + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^4}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4} b \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \hat{u}'(J_T \otimes I_N)\hat{u}/NT$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \hat{u}'(E_T \otimes I_N)\hat{u}/N(T-1)$  are the solutions of  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2}|_{H_0^k} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2}|_{H_0^k} = 0$ , respectively.  $\hat{u}$  denote the restricted maximum likelihood residuals under  $H_0^k$ , i.e., under a one-way error component model.  $\hat{J}_{\rho\rho} = N[2a^2(T-1)^2 + 2a(2T-3) + T-1]$ ,  $a = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2 - \hat{\sigma}_1^2}{T\hat{\sigma}_1^4}$ , and  $b = \text{tr}(W^2 + W'W)$ .

Since  $\hat{D}'_\theta = (0, 0, \hat{D}(\rho), \hat{D}(\lambda))$ , and  $\hat{J}(\theta)$  is a block diagonal matrix with respect to  $\theta_1 = (\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho)$  and  $\lambda$ , the resulting LM statistic for  $H_0^k$  is given by

$$LM_{\lambda\rho/\mu} = \hat{D}'_\theta \hat{J}_\theta^{-1} \hat{D}_\theta = \frac{\hat{D}(\rho)^2 N^2 T^2 (T-1)}{4\hat{\sigma}_1^4 \hat{\sigma}_e^4 \det[J(\theta_1)]} + \frac{\hat{D}(\lambda)^2}{[(T-1) + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^4}{\hat{\sigma}_1^4}]b}, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\det$  denotes the determinants,  $J(\theta_1)$  is the block diagonal information matrix corresponding to the parameters  $(\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho)$ , and  $\hat{D}(\rho)$  and  $\hat{D}(\lambda)$  are given by (3.16) and (3.17). The first term of (3.19) is the familiar term used in testing for serial correlation, see Baltagi (2001) and the second term of (3.10) is the familiar term used in testing the spatial error correlation. Under the null hypothesis, the LM statistic of (3.19) is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_2^2$ .

We can get the LR test for  $H_0^k$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^k$  is given by

$$L_R^c = \text{Const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}_e^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln(T\tilde{\phi} + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{u} \quad (3.20)$$

where  $\phi = \sigma_\mu^2/\sigma_e^2$  and the unrestricted likelihood  $L_U$  is the same as (3.3).

Next, we consider the joint hypothesis  $H_0^l$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\lambda\mu/\rho}$ , tests for zero spatial error correlation and random region effects assuming the existence of serial correlation. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^l$ ,

the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes I_N$  and  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N$ . The scores under the null hypothesis derived in Appendix A.10, are given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} = D(\sigma_\mu^2) = -\frac{Ng}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' \left[ V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N \right] \hat{u} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^l} = \hat{D}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' \left[ V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (W' + W) \right] \hat{u} \quad (3.22)$$

and the information matrix is given by

$$\hat{J}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} & \frac{Ng}{2\sigma_e^2} & \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} & 0 \\ \frac{Ng}{2\sigma_e^2} & \frac{Ng^2}{2} & \frac{N}{\sigma_e^2(1+\rho)} \left[ (2-T)\rho^2 + \rho + (T-1) \right] & 0 \\ \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} \frac{N}{\sigma_e^2(1+\rho)} \left[ (2-T)\rho^2 + \rho + (T-1) \right] & \frac{N}{(1-\rho^2)^2} (3\rho^2 - \rho^2 T + T - 1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Tb \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denote the restricted MLE residuals under  $H_0^l$ , i.e., under a serially correlated regression model. Since  $\hat{D}'_\theta = (0, \hat{D}(\sigma_\mu^2), 0, \hat{D}(\lambda))$ , and  $\hat{J}(\theta)$  is a block diagonal matrix with respect to  $\theta_1 = (\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho)$  and  $\lambda$ , the resulting LM statistic of  $H_0^l$  is given by

$$LM_{\lambda\mu/\rho} = \hat{D}'_\theta \hat{J}_\theta^{-1} \hat{D}_\theta = \frac{\hat{D}^2(\sigma_\mu^2)}{\det[J(\theta_1)] \sigma_e^4 (1-\rho^2)} \left\{ \frac{T}{2} (3\rho^2 - \rho^2 T + T - 1) - \rho^2 \right\} + \frac{\hat{D}^2(\lambda)}{Tb} \quad (3.24)$$

where  $J(\theta_1)$  is the block diagonal information matrix corresponding to the parameters  $(\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho)$ .  $\hat{D}(\sigma_\mu^2)$  and  $\hat{D}(\lambda)$  are given by (3.21) and (3.22). The first term of (3.24) is the familiar term used in testing for serial correlation, see Baltagi (2001) and the second term of (3.24) is the familiar term used in testing for spatial error correlation. Under the null hypothesis, the LM statistic in (3.24) is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_2^2$ .

We can also get the LR test for  $H_0^l$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^l$  is given by

$$L_R = Const. - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \tilde{\sigma}_e^2 + \frac{N}{2} \ln(1-\rho^2) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{u} \quad (3.25)$$

and  $L_U$  is the same as (3.3).

Finally, we consider the null hypothesis  $H_0^m$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). The corresponding conditional LM test, call it  $LM_{\mu\rho/\lambda}$ , tests for zero serial error correlation and random region effects assuming the existence of spatial error correlation. Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^m$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_0^{-1} = (1/\sigma_e^2) I_T \otimes (B'B)$ . The scores under the null hypothesis, derived in Appendix A.11, are given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} = D(\sigma_\mu^2) = -\frac{T}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' \left[ J_T \otimes (B'B)^2 \right] \hat{u} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^m} = \hat{D}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' [G \otimes (B'B)] \hat{u} \quad (3.27)$$

and the information matrix is given by

$$\hat{J}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} & \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr}[B'B] & 0 & \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} d_3 \\ \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr}[B'B] & \frac{T^2}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr}[(B'B)^2] & \frac{T-1}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] & \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr}[W'B + B'W] \\ 0 & \frac{T-1}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] & N(T-1) & 0 \\ \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} d_3 & \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr}[W'B + B'W] & 0 & \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} d_6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.28)$$

where  $d_3$  and  $d_6$  are defined below (3.10) and  $\hat{u}$  denote the restricted MLE residuals under  $H_0^m$ , i.e., under a spatial error correlation model. Using  $\hat{D}'_\theta = (0, \hat{D}(\sigma_\mu^2), \hat{D}(\rho), 0)$ , the resulting LM statistic for  $H_0^m$  is given by

$$LM_{\mu\rho/\lambda} = \hat{D}'_\theta \hat{J}_\theta^{-1} \hat{D}_\theta \quad (3.29)$$

Under the null hypothesis, this LM statistic is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_2^2$ .

We can get the LR test under  $H_0^m$ . The restricted likelihood function under  $H_0^m$  is given by

$$L_R = \text{Const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}_e^2 + T \ln |B| - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{u} \quad (3.30)$$

and  $L_U$  is the same as (3.3).

## 4 Monte Carlo Results

The experimental design for the Monte Carlo simulations is based on the format which was extensively used in earlier studies in the spatial regression model by Anselin and Rey (1991) and Anselin and Florax (1995) and in the panel data model by Nerlove (1971).

The model is set as follows :

$$y_{it} = \alpha + x'_{it} \beta + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\beta = 0.5$ .  $x_{it}$  is generated by a similar method of Nerlove (1971). In fact,  $x_{it} = 0.1t + 0.5x_{i,t-1} + z_{it}$ , where  $z_{it}$  is uniformly distributed over the interval  $[-0.5, 0.5]$ . The initial values  $x_{i0}$  are chosen as  $(5 + 10z_{i0})$ . For the disturbances,  $u_{it} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{it} = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} + \nu_{it}$ ,  $\nu_{it} = \rho \nu_{i,t-1} + e_{it}$ , with  $\mu_i \sim IIN(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$  and  $e_{it} \sim IIN(0, \sigma_e^2)$ , where the initial values  $\nu_{i0}$  is generated from  $N(0, \sigma_e^2 / (1 - \rho^2))$ . The matrix  $W$  is a rook type weight matrix, and the rows of this matrix are standardized so that they sum to one. We fix  $\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2 = 20$  and let  $\eta = \sigma_\mu^2 / (\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2)$  vary over the set  $(0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$ . The spatial autocorrelation factor  $\lambda$  is varied over a positive range from 0 to 0.8 by increments of 0.2 and  $\rho$  takes six different values  $(0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8)$ . Two values for  $N = 25$  and 49, and two values for  $T = 7$  and 12 are chosen. In total, this amounts to 400 experiments.

For each experiment, the joint, conditional and marginal LM and LR tests are computed and 1000 replications are performed. Not all the Monte Carlo results are presented to save space. Here we focus on the joint and conditional tests since these are new contributions to the literature.

#### 4.1 Joint Tests for $H_0^a: \lambda = \rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$

Table 1 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the joint LR and LM tests for  $H_0^a: \lambda = \rho = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ . For 1000 replications, counts between 37 and 63 are not significantly different from 50 at the .05 level. The results are reported for  $N = 25, 49$  and  $T = 7, 12$  for the Rook weight matrix. Table 1 shows that at the 5% level, the size of the joint LR test is typically less than .05 and varies between 2.3% and 4% depending on  $N$  and  $T$ . In contrast, the size of the joint LM test is not significantly different from .05 varying between 3.9% and 4.9% depending on  $N$  and  $T$ . The power of the joint LM and LR tests is reasonably high as long as  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  or  $\eta$  are larger than 0.2. In fact, if  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  or  $\eta \geq 0.4$ , this power is almost one in all cases. For a fixed  $\lambda, \rho$  or  $\eta$ , this power improves as  $N$  or  $T$  increase.

#### 4.2 One-Dimensional Conditional Tests

Table 2 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the one dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^b: \lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The size of these conditional tests is not significantly different from .05 except in two cases. For  $N = 25, T = 7$ , this varies between 3.1% and 5.9% for the LM test and 3.3% to 6.2% for the LR test. The power of these conditional LM and LR tests is reasonably high as long as  $\lambda$  is larger than 0.2. In fact, if  $\lambda \geq 0.4$ , this power is almost one in all cases. For a small  $\lambda = 0.2$ , this power improves as  $N$  or  $T$  increase.

Table 3 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the one dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^c: \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The size of these conditional tests is not significantly different from .05 except in a few cases, like when  $\eta = 0$ , where the LM test is oversized ranging from 6.5% to 8% for  $N = 25$  and  $T = 7$ , and 5.5% to 9.3% for  $N = 49$  and  $T = 7$ . Things improve as  $T$  increases from 7 to 12 as expected. The LR test is better sized ranging from 4.0% to 6.7% for  $\eta = 0$  and all values of  $N$  and  $T$ . The power of these conditional LM and LR tests is close to one as long as  $\rho$  is larger than 0.2. For a small  $\rho = 0.2$ , this power improves as  $N$  or  $T$  increase.

Table 4 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the one dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^d: \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). The LR test is undersized ranging from 1.6% to 3.4% for  $\lambda = 0$  and all values of  $N$  and  $T$ . In contrast, the LM test is not significantly different from .05 for  $\lambda = 0$  and all values of  $N$  and  $T$ . The size of this LM test varies between 3.7% and 5.6%. The power of these conditional LM and LR tests increase with  $\eta, N$  and  $T$ . However, for a given  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ , there is a drop in the power as  $\rho$  becomes larger than 0.6, yielding low power for  $\rho = 0.8$ . Things improve as  $N$  or  $T$  increase. This may be due to the interaction effect between the serial correlation

over time due to the AR(1) process on the remainder disturbances and the constant serial correlation over time due to the same region effect.

### 4.3 Two-Dimensional Conditional Tests

Table 5 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the two dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^k: \lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ). The size of these conditional tests is not significantly different from .05 except for the LM test when  $\eta = 0$  and  $T = 7$ . This varies between 3.9% to 7.7% for the LM test and 3.9% to 6.3% for the LR test. The power of these conditional LM and LR tests is close to one as long as  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  is larger than 0.2. For small  $\lambda$  (or  $\rho$ ) = 0.2, this power improves as  $N$ ,  $T$  or  $\rho$  ( $\lambda$ ) increase.

Table 6 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the two dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^l: \lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$ ). The LR test is undersized with size ranging from 2% to 4.4%, while the LM test has size between 3.4% and 5.9%. This is not significantly different from 5% except in two cases. The power of these conditional LM and LR tests is close to one as long as  $\lambda$  is larger than 0.2. For small  $\lambda = 0.2$ , this power improves as  $N$  or  $T$  or  $\eta$  increase. However, this increase in power with  $\eta$  is slow for  $\rho = 0.8$ , and yields low power for  $T = 7$ . Things improve as  $T$  increases from 7 to 12. Again this may be due to the interaction between the serial correlation due to  $\rho$  and that due to  $\eta$ .

Table 7 gives the frequency of rejections at the 5% level for the two dimensional conditional LR and LM tests for  $H_0^m: \sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). The LR test is undersized for only 3 cases when  $N = 25$  and  $T = 7$  and  $\lambda = 0, 0.2$ , and 0.4. However, the size of the LR is not significantly different from 5% for larger  $N$  or  $T$ . The LM test is properly sized in all cases but one. This is for  $N = 25$ ,  $T = 2$  and  $\lambda = 0$ . In all other cases, it is not significantly different from 5%. The power of these conditional LM and LR tests is close to one as long as  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  is larger than 0.2. For small  $\rho$  (or  $\eta$ ) = 0.2, this power improves as  $N$  or  $T$  or  $\eta$  (or  $\rho$ ) increase.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper considered a spatial panel regression model with serial correlation over time for each spatial unit and spatial dependence across these units at a particular point in time. In addition, the model allowed for heterogeneity across the spatial units through random effects. Testing for any one of these symptoms ignoring the other two is shown to lead to misleading results. The paper derived joint, conditional and marginal LM and LR tests for these symptoms and studied their performance using Monte Carlo experiments. This paper generalized the Baltagi and Li (1995) paper by allowing for spatial error correlation. It also generalized the Baltagi, Song and Koh (2003) paper by allowing for serial correlation over time. In effect, the tests derived in this paper encompass the earlier ones. Ignoring these correlations whether spatial at a point in time or serial correlation for a spatial unit over time may result in misleading inference. The paper does not consider alternative forms of spatial lag dependence and this should be the subject of future research. Also, the results in the paper should be tempered by the fact that the  $N = 25, 49$  used in our



Monte Carlo experiments may be small for a typical micro panel. Larger  $N$  will probably improve the performance of these tests whose critical values are based on their large sample distributions. However, it will also increase the computation difficulty and accuracy of the eigenvalues of the big weighting matrix  $W$ . Finally, it is important to point out that the asymptotic distribution of our test statistics were not explicitly derived in the paper but that they are likely to hold under a similar set of low level assumptions developed by Kelejian and Prucha (2001).

## 6 REFERENCES

- Anselin, L. (1988). *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht).
- Anselin, L. (1999). Rao's score tests in spatial econometrics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, (forthcoming).
- Anselin, L. and A.K. Bera (1998). Spatial dependence in linear regression models with an introduction to spatial econometrics. In A. Ullah and D.E.A. Giles, (eds.), *Handbook of Applied Economic Statistics*, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Anselin, L and S. Rey (1991). Properties of tests for spatial dependence in linear regression models. *Geographical Analysis* 23, 112-131.
- Anselin, L. and R. Florax (1995). Small sample properties of tests for spatial dependence in regression models: Some further results. In L. Anselin and R. Florax, (eds.), *New Directions in Spatial Econometrics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 21-74.
- Baltagi, B.H. (2001). *Econometrics Analysis of Panel Data* (Wiley, Chichester).
- Baltagi, B.H. and Q. Li (1995). Testing AR(1) against MA(1) disturbances in an error component model. *Journal of Econometrics* 68, 133-151.
- Baltagi, B.H., S.H. Song and W. Koh (2003). Testing panel data regression models with spatial error correlation. *Journal of Econometrics* 117, 123-150.
- Bell, K.P. and N.R. Bockstael (2000). Applying the generalized-moments estimation approach to spatial problems involving microlevel data. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 82, 72-82.
- Breusch, T.S. and L.G. Godfrey (1981). A review of recent work on testing for autocorrelation in dynamic simultaneous models. In D.A. Currie, R. Nobay and D. Peels, (eds.), *Macroeconomic Analysis, Essays in Macroeconomics and Economics*, Croom, Helm, London, pp. 63-100.
- Breusch, T.S. and A.R. Pagan (1980). The Lagrange Multiplier test and its application to model specification in econometrics. *Review of Economic Studies* 47, 239-254.
- Case, A.C. (1991). Spatial patterns in household demand. *Econometrica* 59, 953-965.
- Conley, T.G. and G. Topa (2002). Socio-economic distance and spatial patterns in unemployment. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 17, 303-327.

- Hartley, H.O. and J.N.K. Rao (1967). Maximum likelihood estimation for the mixed analysis of variance model. *Biometrika* 54, 93-108.
- Harville, D.A. (1977). Maximum likelihood approaches to variance component estimation and to related problems. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 72, 320-338.
- Hemmerle, W.J. and H.O. Hartley (1973). Computing maximum likelihood estimates for the mixed A.O.V. model using the W-transformation. *Technometrics* 15, 819-831.
- Holtz-Eakin, D. (1994). Public-sector capital and the productivity puzzle. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 76, 12-21.
- Kelejian, H.H. and I.R. Prucha (1999). A generalized moments estimator for the autoregressive parameter in a spatial model. *International Economic Review* 40, 509-533.
- Kelejian, H.H. and I.R. Prucha (2001). On the asymptotic distribution of the Moran I test with applications. *Journal of Econometrics* 104, 219-257.
- Kelejian H.H and D.P. Robinson (1992). Spatial autocorrelation: A new computationally simple test with an application to per capita county police expenditures. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 22, 317-331.
- Magnus, J.R. (1982). Multivariate error components analysis of linear and nonlinear regression models by maximum likelihood. *Journal of Econometrics* 19, 239-285.
- Nerlove, M. (1971). Further evidence on the estimation of dynamic economic relations from a time-series of cross-sections. *Econometrica* 39, 359-382.
- Pinkse, J. (1998). Asymptotic properties of Moran and related tests and a test for spatial correlation in probit models. Working paper, Department of Economics, University of British Columbia.
- Pinkse, J. (1999). Moran-flavoured tests with nuisance parameters: Examples. In L. Anselin and R.J.G.M. Florax (eds.), *New Advances in Spatial Econometrics*, (forthcoming).
- Pinkse, J., M.E. Slade and C. Brett (2002). Spatial price competition: A semiparametric approach. *Econometrica* 70, 1111-1153.

### Appendix A.1: Joint LM test for $\rho = \lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$

This appendix derives the joint LM test for spatial error correlation, random region effects and first-order serial correlation in the remainder error term. The null hypothesis is given by  $H_0^a$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = \lambda = 0$ . Let  $\theta' = (\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho, \lambda)$ . Note that the part of the information matrix corresponding to  $\beta$  will be ignored in computing the LM statistic, since the information matrix is block diagonal between the  $\theta$  and  $\beta$  parameters and the first derivative with respect to  $\beta$  evaluated at the restricted MLE is zero. The LM statistic is given by:

$$LM = \tilde{D}'_\theta \tilde{J}_\theta^{-1} \tilde{D}_\theta \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $\tilde{D}_\theta = (\partial L / \partial \theta)(\tilde{\theta})$  is a  $4 \times 1$  vector of partial derivatives of the likelihood function with respect to each element of  $\theta$ , evaluated at the restricted MLE  $\tilde{\theta}$ . Also,  $J_\theta = E[-\partial^2 L / \partial \theta \partial \theta']$  is the part of the information matrix corresponding to  $\theta$ , and  $\tilde{J}_\theta$  is  $J_\theta$  evaluated at the restricted MLE  $\tilde{\theta}$ . Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^a$ , the variance-covariance matrix given in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes I_N$  and the restricted MLE of  $\beta$  is  $\tilde{\beta}_{OLS}$ , so that  $\tilde{u} = y - X\tilde{\beta}_{OLS}$  are the OLS residuals and  $\tilde{\sigma}_e^2 = \tilde{u}'\tilde{u}/NT$ . Hartley and Rao (1967) and Hemmerle and Hartley (1973) give a general useful formula that helps in obtaining  $\tilde{D}_\theta$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_r} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta_r} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}' \left( \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta_r} \Omega^{-1} \right) \mathbf{u} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . It is easy to show from (2.7) that  $\partial \Omega / \partial \sigma_e^2 = V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$ ,  $\partial \Omega / \partial \sigma_\mu^2 = J_T \otimes I_N$  and  $\partial \Omega / \partial \lambda = V \otimes (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}$  using the fact that  $\partial (B'B)^{-1} / \partial \lambda = (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}$ , see Anselin (1988, p.164).  $\partial V_1 / \partial \rho|_{H_0^a} = G$ , where  $G$  is a bidiagonal matrix with bidiagonal elements all equal to one.

$$\Omega^{-1}|_{H_0^a} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$(\partial \Omega / \partial \sigma_e^2)|_{H_0^a} = I_T \otimes I_N \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$(\partial \Omega / \partial \sigma_\mu^2)|_{H_0^a} = J_T \otimes I_N \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$(\partial \Omega / \partial \rho)|_{H_0^a} = \sigma_e^2 (G \otimes I_N) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$(\partial \Omega / \partial \lambda)|_{H_0^a} = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (W' + W) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This uses the fact that, under  $H_0^a$ ,  $B = I_N$  and  $V_1 = I_T$ . Using (A.2), the score with respect to each element of  $\theta$ , evaluated at the restricted MLE is given by

$$\tilde{D}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D(\tilde{\sigma}_e^2) \\ D(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu^2) \\ D(\tilde{\rho}) \\ D(\tilde{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{NT}{2\tilde{\sigma}_e^2} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}'(J_T \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} - 1 \right) \\ \frac{NT}{2} \frac{\tilde{u}'(G \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \\ \frac{NT}{2} \frac{\tilde{u}'(I_T \otimes (W' + W))\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Using the following matrix differentiation formula given in Harville (1977):

$$J_{rs} = E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta_r \partial \theta_s} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta_r} \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta_s} \right] \quad \text{for } r, s = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

one gets the information matrix under  $H_0^a$ :

$$\tilde{J}_\theta = \frac{NT}{2\tilde{\sigma}_e^4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & T & \frac{2(T-1)\tilde{\sigma}_e^2}{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2(T-1)\tilde{\sigma}_e^2}{T} & \frac{2(T-1)\tilde{\sigma}_e^4}{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2b\tilde{\sigma}_e^4}{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where  $b = \text{tr}(W^2 + W'W)$ . Note that  $\tilde{J}_\theta$  is a block diagonal matrix with respect to  $(\sigma_e^2, \sigma_\mu^2, \rho)$  and  $\lambda$ . Substituting (A.8) and (A.10) in (A.1), the resulting LM statistic is given by

$$LM_J = \frac{NT^2}{2(T-1)(T-2)} [A^2 - 4AF + 2TF^2] + \frac{N^2T}{b} H^2 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where  $A = \frac{\tilde{u}'(J_T \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} - 1$ ,  $F = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}'(G \otimes I_N)\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \right)$  and  $H = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}'(I_T \otimes (W' + W))\tilde{u}}{\tilde{u}'\tilde{u}} \right)$ . Under the null hypothesis  $H_0^a$ , this LM statistic is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi_3^2$ .

## Appendix A.2: Joint LR test for $\rho = \lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$

This appendix derives the LR test for the joint significance of spatial error correlation, random region effects and first-order serial correlation. Using (2.7), the variance-covariance matrix can be rewritten as

$$\Omega = \sigma_e^2 \left[ (J_T \otimes I_N) \phi + V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \right] = \sigma_e^2 \Sigma$$

where  $\phi = \sigma_\mu^2 / \sigma_e^2$ ,  $V_\rho = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} V_1$ , and  $V_1$  is defined in (2.8). In this case,  $\Sigma^{-1} = \Omega^{-1} / \sigma_e^2$  with  $\Sigma^{*-1} = \Omega^{*-1} / \sigma_e^2$  similarly defined.  $\Omega^*$  is given by (2.12). In fact,

$$\Sigma^{*-1} = \bar{J}_T^\alpha \otimes Z_0 + E_T^\alpha \otimes (B'B) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $Z_0 = [d^2(1-\rho)^2 \phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}]^{-1} = \sigma_e^2 Z$ . Using  $\Omega^* = (C \otimes I_N) \Omega (C' \otimes I_N)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{-1} &= [C' \otimes I_N] \Sigma^{*-1} [C \otimes I_N] \\ &= V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} (V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where  $d^2 = \alpha^2 + (T-1)$  and  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}}$ . This uses the fact that  $C \iota_T = (1-\rho) \iota_T^\alpha$  and  $C'C = V_\rho^{-1}$ . Also,

$$|\Sigma^*| = |d^2(1-\rho)^2 \phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}| \cdot |(B'B)^{-1}|^{T-1}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

and using

$$\Sigma = [C \otimes I_N] \Sigma^* [C' \otimes I_N] \quad (\text{A.15})$$

we get

$$|\Sigma| = |\Sigma^*| / (1-\rho^2)^N \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Therefore, under the normality assumption of the disturbances, the log-likelihood function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \text{Const.} - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\Omega^*| + \frac{1}{2} u^{*'} \Omega^{*-1} u^* \\ &= \text{Const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \sigma_e^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\Sigma^*| - \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} u^{*'} \Sigma^{*-1} u^* \\ &= \text{Const.} + \frac{N}{2} \ln(1-\rho^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |d^2(1-\rho)^2 \phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}| - \frac{NT}{2} \ln \sigma_e^2 \\ &\quad + (T-1) \ln |B| - \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} u' \Sigma^{-1} u \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

The first-order conditions give closed form solutions for  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_e^2$  conditional on  $\hat{\lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\phi}$  and  $\hat{\rho}$ :

$$\hat{\beta} = (X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} y, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = (y - X\hat{\beta})' \Sigma^{-1} (y - X\hat{\beta}) / NT. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Following Hemmerle and Hartley(1973), we get from (A.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} V_1 \right) \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\
&= \left( \frac{2\rho}{(1-\rho^2)^2} V_1 + \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} F_\rho \right) \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes (B'B)^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

where

$$F_\rho = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \rho} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2\rho & \cdots & (T-1)\rho^{T-2} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & (T-2)\rho^{T-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (T-1)\rho^{T-2} & (T-2)\rho^{T-3} & (T-3)\rho^{T-4} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{A.21}$$

Therefore, using (A.13) and (A.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} \right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} u' \left( \Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} \Sigma^{-1} \right) u \\
&= -N\rho/(1-\rho^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2d^2(1-\rho)^2(1-\rho^2)} [4\rho(1-\rho) + 2\rho(T-2)(1-\rho)^2 + 2(1-\rho^2)(T-1)] \\
&\quad \{ \text{tr}(d^2(1-\rho)^2\phi(B'B) + I_N)^{-1} - N \} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' \left( \Sigma^{-1} [(2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes (B'B)^{-1}] \Sigma^{-1} \right) \hat{u}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes I_N \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2(1-\rho^2)} (2\rho V_\rho^{-1} J_T + V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \\
&\quad \otimes \left\{ (d^2(1-\rho)^2\phi(B'B) + I_N)^{-1} - I_N \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr} \left[ \Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} \right] &= \frac{2\rho N}{(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2(1-\rho^2)} \\
&\quad [4\rho(1-\rho) + 2\rho(T-2)(1-\rho)^2 + 2(1-\rho^2)(T-1)] \\
&\quad \{ \text{tr}(d^2(1-\rho)^2\phi(B'B) + I_N)^{-1} - N \}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

using the fact that  $\text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T] = (1-\rho)\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}$ ,  $\text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho] = -2\rho(T-1)$  and  $\text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho] = 2(1-\rho)^2(T-1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \rho} \Sigma^{-1} &= \Sigma^{-1} [(2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes (B'B)^{-1}] \Sigma^{-1} / (1-\rho^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \Sigma^{-1} [(2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes (B'B)^{-1}] \Sigma^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi}] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_v^2}u'\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi}\Sigma^{-1}u \\ &= -\frac{T}{2}\text{tr}[(T\phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1})^{-1}] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_v^2}u'\left[J_T \otimes (T\phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1})^{-2}\right]u\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.25})$$

using  $\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi} = J_T \otimes I_N$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi} &= \left(V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} (V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)]\right) (J_T \otimes I_N) \\ &= V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)] \\ \text{tr}[\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi}] &= (1-\rho)\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}\text{tr}(B'B) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} [(1-\rho)\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}]^2 \text{tr}[Z_0 - (B'B)] \\ &= \left[k_2 - \frac{k_2^2}{d^2(1-\rho)^2}\right] \text{tr}(B'B) + \frac{k_2^2}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} \text{tr}(Z_0),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.26})$$

where  $k_2 = (1-\rho)\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \phi}\Sigma^{-1} &= \left(V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)]\right) \\ &\quad \left(V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B) + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)]\right)\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.27})$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left[(T\phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1})^{-1}(B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{T-1}{2}\text{tr}\left[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}\right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_v^2}u'\left[\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda}\Sigma^{-1}\right]u.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.28})$$

using

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda} = V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}\quad (\text{A.29})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda} &= I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes [Z_0 - (B'B)](B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.30})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial\Sigma}{\partial\lambda}\right) &= T \text{tr}\left((W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{d^2(1-\rho)^2}(1-\rho)\{(1-\rho)(T-2) + 2\} \cdot \\
&\left\{\text{tr}[Z_0(B'B)^{-1}(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] - \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]\right\} \quad (\text{A.31})
\end{aligned}$$

The Fisher scoring procedure is used to estimate  $\phi$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$ . Using the formula in Harville(1977), the elements of the information matrix corresponding to  $\phi$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  can be obtained from the expressions derived above. For example

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\phi^2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial\Sigma}{\partial\phi}\right]^2 = \frac{T^2}{2}\text{tr}\left[\left\{T\phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}\right\}^{-2}\right] \quad (\text{A.32})$$

and

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\rho^2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial\Sigma}{\partial\rho}\right]^2 = \frac{T^2}{2}\text{tr}\left[\left\{T\phi I_N + (B'B)^{-1}\right\}^{-2}\right] \quad (\text{A.33})$$

Starting with an initial value, the  $(r+1)$ th updated value of  $\lambda$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\rho$  are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\lambda} \\ \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\rho} \end{bmatrix}_{r+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\lambda} \\ \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\rho} \end{bmatrix}_r + \begin{bmatrix} E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda^2}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda\partial\phi}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda\partial\rho}\right] \\ E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda\partial\phi}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\phi^2}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\phi\partial\rho}\right] \\ E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda\partial\rho}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\phi\partial\rho}\right] & E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\rho^2}\right] \end{bmatrix}_r^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial\lambda} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial\phi} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial\rho} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

where at each step,  $\partial L/\partial\lambda$ ,  $\partial L/\partial\phi$  and  $\partial L/\partial\rho$  are obtained from equations (A.28), (A.25) and (A.22).  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_e^2$  are obtained from (A.18) and (A.19), and the information matrix is obtained from equations like (A.32-A.33). The subscript  $r$  means that these terms are evaluated at the estimates of the  $r$ th iteration.



**Appendix A.3: (M.4) LM test for  $H_0^e$ :  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  given  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$**

When  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$ . Under  $H_0^e$ :  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  given  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes I_N$  with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= I_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \sigma_e^2 G \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (W + W')\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^e} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes I_N] \hat{u} = 0$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= G \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^e} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^e} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' [G \otimes I_N] \hat{u}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= I_T \otimes (W' + W) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^e} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^e} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W' + W)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^e} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes (W' + W)] \hat{u}$$

Using (A.9), the elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^e$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial(\sigma_e^2)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{12} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\rho} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N \right] = 0 \\
J_{13} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\lambda} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W + W') \right] = 0 \\
J_{22} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\rho^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ G^2 \otimes I_N \right] = N(T-1) \\
J_{23} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\rho \partial\lambda} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ G \otimes (W + W') \right] = 0 \\
J_{33} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ I_T \otimes (W' + W)^2 \right] = T \text{tr}(W^2 + W'W)
\end{aligned}$$

So the score vector is given by (A.8) with  $D(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu^2)$  deleted. Similarly, the information matrix is given by (A.10) with the 2nd row and column deleted. The resulting matrix is diagonal which leads to the result that  $LM_{\lambda\rho} = LM_\lambda + LM_\rho$ .

**Appendix A.4: (M.5) LM test for  $H_0^f$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  given  $\rho = 0$**

When  $\rho = 0$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$ . Under  $H_0^f$ :  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  given  $\rho = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes I_N$  with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= I_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= J_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (W + W')\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes I_N] \hat{u} = 0$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} J_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^f} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [J_T \otimes I_N] \hat{u} = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} \left( \frac{\hat{u}' (J_T \otimes I_N) \hat{u}}{\hat{u}' \hat{u}} - 1 \right)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= I_T \otimes (W' + W) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^f} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^f} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W' + W)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^f} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes (W' + W)] \hat{u}$$

Using (A.9), the elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^f$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial(\sigma_e^2)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{12} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\sigma_\mu^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{13} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\lambda} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W + W') \right] = 0 \\
J_{22} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial(\sigma_\mu^2)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T^2 \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT^2}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{23} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2 \partial\lambda} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} J_T \otimes (W + W') \right] = 0 \\
J_{33} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\lambda^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [I_T \otimes (W' + W)^2] = T \text{tr}(W^2 + W'W).
\end{aligned}$$

So, the score vector is given by (A.8) with  $D(\hat{\rho})$  deleted. Similarly, the information matrix is given by (A.10) with the 3rd row and column deleted. Simple inversion of this block diagonal information matrix leads to  $LM_{\lambda\mu} = LM_\lambda + LM_\mu$ .

**Appendix A.5: (M.6) LM test for  $H_0^g: \sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  given  $\lambda = 0$**

When  $\lambda = 0$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes I_N$ . Under  $H_0^g: \sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  given  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes I_N$  with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= I_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= J_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \sigma_e^2 G \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes I_N] \hat{u} = 0\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} J_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^g} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} u' [J_T \otimes I_N] u = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} \left( \frac{\hat{u}' (J_T \otimes I_N) \hat{u}}{\hat{u}' \hat{u}} - 1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= G \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^g} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^g} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^g} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} u' [G \otimes I_N] u$$

Using (A.9), elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^g$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial(\sigma_e^2)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{12} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\sigma_\mu^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{13} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_e^2 \partial\rho} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N \right] = 0 \\
J_{22} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial(\sigma_\mu^2)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T^2 \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT^2}{2\sigma_e^4} \\
J_{23} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2 \partial\rho} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} J_T G \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{N(T-1)}{\sigma_e^2} \\
J_{33} &= E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\rho^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ G^2 \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{N}{2} 2(T-1) = N(T-1)
\end{aligned}$$

So, the score is given by (A.8) with  $D(\tilde{\lambda})$  deleted. Also, the information matrix is given by (A.10) with the 4th row and column removed. Inverting the resulting information matrix and computing the LM statistic, we get  $LM_{\mu\rho}$ .

**Appendix A.6: (C.1) LM test for  $H_0^h$ :  $\lambda = 0$  given  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$**

Under  $H_0^h$ :  $\lambda = 0$  given  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ,  $\Omega$  in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_\mu^2(J_T \otimes I_N) + \sigma_e^2(V_\rho \otimes I_N)$  with

$$\Omega_0^{-1} = (V^{-1} - cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1}) \otimes I_N$$

where  $c = \frac{\sigma_e^2\sigma_\mu^2}{d^2(1-\rho)^2\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2}$

$$d^2 = \alpha^2 + (T-1), \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}}$$

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_e^2}\Big|_{H_0^h} = V_\rho \otimes I_N = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2}V \otimes I_N$$

$$\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_e^2}\Big|_{H_0^h} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2}(I_T - cV^{-1}J_T) \otimes I_N$$

$$\text{tr}\left[\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_e^2}\right]\Big|_{H_0^h} = N(T - cg)/\sigma_e^2$$

where  $g = \text{tr}(V^{-1}J_T) = \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sigma_e^2}\{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_e^2}\Omega^{-1}\Big|_{H_0^h} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_e^2}(I_T - cV^{-1}J_T) \otimes I_N\right)\left(V^{-1} - cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1} \otimes I_N\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2}\left(V^{-1} - 2cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1} + [cV^{-1}J_T]^2V^{-1}\right) \otimes I_N \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial\sigma_e^2}\Big|_{H_0^h} &= -\frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2}[T - cg] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2}\hat{u}'\left[\left(V^{-1} - 2cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1} + [cV^{-1}J_T]^2V^{-1}\right) \otimes I_N\right]\hat{u} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2}\Big|_{H_0^h} = J_T \otimes I_N$$

$$\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2}\Big|_{H_0^h} = \left(V^{-1}J_T - c(V^{-1}J_T)^2\right) \otimes I_N$$

$$\text{tr}\left[\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2}\right]\Big|_{H_0^h} = Ng(1 - cg)$$

$$\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_\mu^2}\Omega^{-1}\Big|_{H_0^h} = (V^{-1} - cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1})J_T(V^{-1} - cV^{-1}J_TV^{-1}) \otimes I_N$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^h} &= -\frac{N}{2} \left( \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sigma_e^2} \{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\} - c \left[ \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sigma_e^2} \{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\} \right]^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} u' [(V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) J_T (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) \otimes I_N] u \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^h} = \sigma_e^2 \left[ \frac{2\rho}{(1-\rho^2)^2} V_1 + \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} F_\rho \right] \otimes I_N = \sigma_e^2 H_\rho \otimes I_N$$

where  $H_\rho = \left[ \frac{2\rho}{(1-\rho^2)^2} V_1 + \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} F_\rho \right] = \left[ \frac{2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho}{1-\rho^2} \right]$  and  $F_\rho$  is defined in (A.21).

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^h} = \sigma_e^2 (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \otimes I_N$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^h} = N \sigma_e^2 \text{tr} [(V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho]$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^h} = \sigma_e^2 [(V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1})] \otimes I_N$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^h} &= -\frac{N \sigma_e^2}{2} \text{tr} [(V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho] \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{2} u' [(V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) \otimes I_N] u \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^h} = V \otimes (W' + W)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^h} &= (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} \otimes I_N) (V \otimes (W' + W)) \\ &= (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes (W' + W) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^h} = 0$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^h} = (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) V (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) \otimes (W' + W)$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^h} = \hat{D}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} u' [V^{-1} - 2c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} + c^2 [V^{-1} J_T]^2 V^{-1}] \otimes (W' + W) u$$

which is given in (3.5). Using (A.9), elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^h$  are given by:



$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} [I_T - 2c V^{-1} J_T + c^2 V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} J_T] \\
&= \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^4} [T - 2cg + (cg)^2]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right) \left( (V^{-1} J_T - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} [V^{-1} J_T - 2c (V^{-1} J_T)^2 + c^2 (V^{-1} J_T)^3] \\
&= \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2} (g - 2cg^2 + c^2 g^3) = \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2} g(1 - cg)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right) \left( \sigma_e^2 (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [V^{-1} H_\rho - 2c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} H_\rho + c^2 (V^{-1} J_T)^2 V^{-1} H_\rho]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right) \left( (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes (W' + W) \right) \right] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [(V^{-1} J_T - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N]^2 \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \text{tr} [(V^{-1} J_T)^2 - 2c (V^{-1} J_T)^3 + c^2 (V^{-1} J_T)^4] = \frac{N}{2} g^2 (1 - cg)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( (V^{-1} J_T - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} J_T) \otimes I_N \right) \left( \sigma_e^2 (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{N\sigma_e^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (V^{-1} J_T V^{-1} - 2c (V^{-1} J_T)^2 V^{-1} + c^2 (V^{-1} J_T)^3 V^{-1}) H_\rho \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( (V^{-1} J_T - c (V^{-1} J_T)^2) \otimes I_N \right) \left( (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes (W' + W) \right) \right] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \sigma_e^2 (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \otimes I_N \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{N \sigma_e^4}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \right]^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \sigma_e^2 (V^{-1} - c V^{-1} J_T V^{-1}) H_\rho \otimes I_N \right) \left( (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes (W' + W) \right) \right] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (I_T - c V^{-1} J_T) \otimes (W' + W) \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (I_T - 2c V^{-1} J_T + c^2 (V^{-1} J_T)^2) \otimes (W' + W)(W' + W) \right] \\
&= b(T - 2cg + c^2 g^2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{tr}[V^{-1} H_\rho] = \frac{2\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1 - \rho^2)}$ .

**Appendix A.7: LM test for  $H_0^i$ :  $\rho = 0$  given  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$**

Under  $H_0^i$ :  $\rho = 0$  given  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ,  $\Omega$  in (2.7) reduces to

$$\Omega_0 = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$$

replacing  $J_T$  by  $T\bar{J}_T$  and  $I_T$  by  $E_T + \bar{J}_T$ , we get

$$\Omega_0 = \bar{J}_T \otimes [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B'B)^{-1}] + \sigma_e^2 E_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z$$

where  $Z = [T\sigma_\mu^2 I_N + \sigma_e^2 (B'B)^{-1}]^{-1}$ .

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} = I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (E_T \otimes I_N) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1}$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^i} = \frac{(T-1)N}{\sigma_e^2} + \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1}]$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} Z$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(T-1)N}{\sigma_e^2} + \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1}] \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} u' \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} Z \right] u \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} = T \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z \right) (T \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N) = T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^i} = T \text{tr}[Z]$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^i} = (T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z \right) = T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z^2$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^i} = -\frac{T}{2} \text{tr}[Z] + \frac{T}{2} u' (\bar{J}_T \otimes Z Z) u$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} \\
\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} \\
\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^i} &= (T-1) \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}] \\
&\quad + \sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}] \\
\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W) + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} Z
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( (T-1) \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}] + \sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}] \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} u' \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W) + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} Z \right) u
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= \sigma_e^2 G \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\
\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} \\
\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^i} &= \frac{2(T-1)}{T} (\sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1}] - N) \\
\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= E_T G \bar{J}_T \otimes Z + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} Z \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T G E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T G E_T \otimes Z
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^i} &= \hat{D}(\rho) = -\frac{(T-1)}{T} (\sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1}] - N) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} u' \left( E_T G \bar{J}_T \otimes Z + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} Z \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T G E_T \otimes (B'B) + \bar{J}_T G E_T \otimes Z \right) u
\end{aligned}$$

which is given by (3.8) when we substitute the restricted MLE under  $H_0^i$ . Using (A.9), the information matrix has the following elements under  $H_0^i$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z (B'B)^{-1} \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} E_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes (Z (B'B)^{-1})^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(T-1)N}{\sigma_e^4} + \text{tr}[Z (B'B)^{-1}]^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} \right) (T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} Z] = \frac{T}{2} \text{tr} [Z(B'B)^{-1} Z]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} \right) (E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1}) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes (Z(B'B)^{-1})^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{(T-1)}{T} \left( \sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}]^2 - \frac{N}{\sigma_e^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} \right) (E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes (Z(B'B)^{-1})^2 (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(T-1)}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[(Z(B'B)^{-1})^2 (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z]^2 = \frac{T^2}{2} \text{tr}[Z]^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[(T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z)(E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1})] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[T \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z^2(B'B)^{-1}] = (T-1) \sigma_e^2 \text{tr}[Z^2(B'B)^{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z) (E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sigma_e^2}{2} \text{tr}[T \bar{J}_T \otimes Z^2(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] \\
&= \frac{T \sigma_e^2}{2} \text{tr}[Z^2(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} \right) \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ E_T G E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^4 \bar{J}_T G \bar{J}_T G \otimes \{Z(B'B)^{-1}\}^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \{2(T-1) - 2(4T-6)/T + 4(T-1)^2/T^2\} + \left(\frac{\sigma_e^4}{2}\right) 4(T-1)^2/T^2 \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}]^2 \\
&= \frac{N}{T^2} \{T^3 - 3T^2 + 2T + 2\} + \frac{2(T-1)^2 \sigma_e^4}{T^2} \text{tr}[Z(B'B)^{-1}]^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( E_T G \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} \right) \left( E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [E_T G \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \\
&\quad + \sigma_e^4 \bar{J}_T G \bar{J}_T \otimes \{Z(B'B)^{-1}\}^2 (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}] \\
&= -\frac{T-1}{T} \text{tr} [(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ E_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \bar{J}_T \otimes Z(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{T-1}{2} \text{tr} [(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^4}{2} \text{tr} [Z(B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1}]^2
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the information matrix given by (3.10) when we substitute the restricted MLE under  $H_0^i$ .

**Appendix A.8: (C.3) LM test for  $H_0^j: \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  given  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\rho \neq 0$**

Under  $H_0^j: \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  given  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\rho \neq 0$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$  with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= J_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \sigma_e^2 \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes (B'B)^{-1} = \sigma_e^2 H_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \right) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)] \hat{u} = 0$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (1-\rho) \{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\} \text{tr}(B'B) = g \text{tr}(B'B) \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)^2\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^j} = -\frac{g}{2} \text{tr}(B'B) + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (B'B)^2] \hat{u}$$

which is given in (3.12). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{N}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho T - 2\rho T + 2\rho) = \frac{2\rho N}{1-\rho^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2 (1-\rho^2)} (2\rho V_\rho^{-1} + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes (B'B)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^j} = -\frac{N\rho}{1-\rho^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2 (1-\rho^2)} \hat{u}' \left[ (2\rho V_\rho^{-1} + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes (B'B) \right] \hat{u} = 0$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^j} &= T \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^j} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (W'B + B'W)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^j} = -\frac{T}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' \left[ V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (W'B + B'W) \right] \hat{u} = 0$$

Using (A.9), elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^j$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\ J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B) \right] = \frac{g}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] \\ J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left( 2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right) \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} \\ J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] = \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\ J_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B)^2 \right] = \frac{g^2}{2} \text{tr}[(B'B)^2] \\ J_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \left( 2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right) \otimes (B'B) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} \left[ 2\rho \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T] + \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho] \right] \text{tr}[B'B] \\ &= \frac{1-\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1-\rho^2)} \left[ \rho \{ 2 + (T-2)(1-\rho) \} + (1-\rho)(T-1) \right] \text{tr}[B'B] \\ &= \frac{\text{tr}[B'B]}{\sigma_e^2(1+\rho)} \left[ (2-T)\rho^2 + (T-1) + \rho \right] \\ J_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (B'B)(W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \left[ (1-\rho) \{ 2 + (T-2)(1-\rho) \} \right] \text{tr}[W'B + B'W] = \frac{g}{2} \text{tr}(W'B + B'W) \\ J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)^2} \left( 2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right)^2 \otimes I_N \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{2(1-\rho^2)^2} \text{tr} \left[ 4\rho^2 I_T + 4\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{2(1-\rho^2)^2} \left[ 4\rho^2 T - 8\rho^2(T-1) + \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho] \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{(1-\rho^2)^2} (3\rho^2 - \rho^2 T + T - 1)\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\
&= \frac{\rho}{1-\rho^2} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\
J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ I_T \otimes \left\{ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right\}^2 \right] = \frac{T}{2} \left[ \text{tr} \left\{ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right\}^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the information matrix given in (3.14).

**Appendix A.9: Conditional LM test for  $H_0^k$ :  $\rho = \lambda = 0$  given  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$**

Under  $H_0^k$ :  $\rho = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$  given  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ ,  $\Omega$  in (2.7) reduces to

$$\Omega_0 = \sigma_\mu^2 J_T \otimes I_N + \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes I_N$$

which is the usual error component variance-covariance matrix with

$$\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} (\bar{J}_T \otimes I_N) + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (E_T \otimes I_N)$$

where  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_e^2$ . It is easy to check that under  $H_0^k$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^k} = I_T \otimes I_N$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N + \bar{J}_T \otimes \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) I_N$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^k} = T \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} J_T \otimes I_N$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \sigma_e^2 G \otimes I_N$$

where  $G$  is a bidiagonal matrix with bidiagonal elements all equal to one.

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \sigma_e^2 \left( \frac{\bar{J}_T}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{E_T}{\sigma_e^2} \right) G \otimes I_N$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^k} = \frac{2(T-1)N}{T} \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right)$$

since  $\text{tr}(\bar{J}_T G) = 2(T-1)/T$ .

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \sigma_e^2 \left( \frac{\bar{J}_T}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{E_T}{\sigma_e^2} \right) G \left( \frac{\bar{J}_T}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{E_T}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \otimes I_N$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^k} &= \hat{D}(\rho) = \frac{(T-1)N}{T} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{2} u' \left[ \left( \frac{\bar{J}_T}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{E_T}{\sigma_e^2} \right) G \left( \frac{\bar{J}_T}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{E_T}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \otimes I_N \right] u \end{aligned}$$

which is given by (3.16) when we substitute the restricted MLE under  $H_0^k$ . Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (W' + W)$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^k} = I_T \otimes (W' + W) + \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W)$$

$$\text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^k} = 0 \text{ since } \text{tr}(W) = 0.$$

$$\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^4} \bar{J}_T + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \right) \otimes (W' + W)$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^k} = \hat{D}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} u' \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^4} \bar{J}_T + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} E_T \right) \otimes (W' + W) \right] u$$

which is given by (3.17) when we substitute the restricted MLE under  $H_0^k$ . Using (A.9), the information matrix has the following elements under  $H_0^k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N + \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{\sigma_e^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \right\} \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4} + \frac{T-1}{\sigma_e^4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} J_T \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{NT}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_e^2} \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_1^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N + E_T G \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) (\bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N) + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) (\bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right)^2 \bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N \right] \\ &= \frac{(T-1)N}{T} \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

using  $\text{tr}(G) = 0$  and  $\text{tr}(\bar{J}_T G) = 2(T-1)/T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes I_N \right) (I_T \otimes (W' + W)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma_e^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$J_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} J_T \otimes I_N \right]^2 = \frac{NT^2}{2\sigma_1^4}$$

$$J_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} J_T \otimes I_N \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N + E_T G \otimes I_N \right) \right] = \frac{N(T-1)\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^4}$$

$$J_{24} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} J_T \otimes I_N \right) \left( I_T \otimes (W' + W) + \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W) \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N + E_T G \otimes I_N \right]^2 \\ &= N[2a^2(T-1)^2 + 2a(2T-3) + T-1] \end{aligned}$$

where  $a = \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{T\sigma_1^2}$ ,  $\text{tr}(G^2) = 2(T-1)$ ,  $\text{tr}(\bar{J}_T G)^2 = 4(T-1)^2/T^2$  and  $\text{tr}(\bar{J}_T G^2) = (4T-6)/T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{J}_T G \otimes I_N + E_T G \otimes I_N \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left( I_T \otimes (W' + W) + \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W) \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ I_T \otimes (W' + W) + \left( \frac{\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \bar{J}_T \otimes (W' + W) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left( I_T + 2\sigma_e^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \bar{J}_T + \sigma_e^4 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \right)^2 \bar{J}_T \right) \otimes (W' + W)^2 \right] \\ &= \text{tr}[W^2 + W'W] \left( \frac{\sigma_e^4}{\sigma_1^4} + (T-1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

This yields the information matrix given by (3.18) when we substitute the restricted MLE under  $H_0^k$ .

**Appendix A.10: (C.5) LM test for  $H_0^l: \sigma_\mu^2 = \lambda = 0$  given  $\rho \neq 0$**

Under  $H_0^l: \sigma_\mu^2 = \lambda = 0$  given  $\rho \neq 0$ ,  $\Omega$  in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes I_N$  with  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= V_\rho \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= J_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \sigma_e^2 \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho V_\rho + F_\rho) \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \sigma_e^2 V_\rho \otimes (W' + W) \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \right) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N] \hat{u} = 0$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{N}{\sigma_e^2} (1-\rho) \{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= -\frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2} (1-\rho) \{2 + (T-2)(1-\rho)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} \otimes I_N] \hat{u}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{N}{1-\rho^2} (2\rho T - 2\rho T + 2\rho) = \frac{2\rho N}{1-\rho^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2 (1-\rho^2)} (2\rho V_\rho^{-1} + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes I_N\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^l} = -\frac{N\rho}{1-\rho^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2 (1-\rho^2)} \hat{u}' \left[ (2\rho V_\rho^{-1} + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1}) \otimes I_N \right] \hat{u} = 0$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= I_T \otimes (W' + W) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^l} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^l} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (W' + W)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^l} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u} [V_\rho^{-1} \otimes (W' + W)] \hat{u}$$

Using (A.9), the elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^l$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\ J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^4} (1 - \rho) \{2 + (T - 2)(1 - \rho)\} = \frac{Ng}{2\sigma_e^2} \\ J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{N\rho}{\sigma_e^2(1 - \rho^2)} \\ J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W' + W) \right] = 0 \\ J_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{Ng^2}{2} \\ J_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T (2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho) \otimes I_N \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{2\sigma_e^2(1 - \rho^2)} [2\rho \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T] + \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} J_T V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho]] \\ &= \frac{N(1 - \rho)}{\sigma_e^2(1 - \rho^2)} [\rho \{2 + (T - 2)(1 - \rho)\} + (1 - \rho)(T - 1)] \\ &= \frac{N}{\sigma_e^2(1 + \rho)} [(2 - T)\rho^2 + \rho + (T - 1)]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} V_\rho^{-1} J_T \otimes (W' + W) \right] = 0 \\
J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \left( 2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right)^2 \otimes I_N \right] \\
&= \frac{N}{2(1 - \rho^2)^2} \text{tr} [4\rho^2 I_T + 4\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho] \\
&= \frac{N}{2(1 - \rho^2)^2} [4\rho^2 T - 8\rho^2(T - 1) + \text{tr}[V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho]] \\
&= \frac{N}{2(1 - \rho^2)^2} [4\rho^2 T - 8\rho^2(T - 1) + 2(1 + \rho^2)(T - 1)] \\
&= \frac{N}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} (3\rho^2 - \rho^2 T + T - 1) \\
J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \left( 2\rho I_T + V_\rho^{-1} F_\rho \right) \otimes (W' + W) \right] = 0 \\
J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [I_T \otimes (W' + W)^2] = \frac{T}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \left\{ (W' + W) \right\}^2 \right] = Tb
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the information matrix given in (3.23).

**Appendix A.11: (C.6) LM test for  $H_0^m$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  given  $\lambda = 0$**

Under  $H_0^m$ :  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  given  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the variance-covariance matrix in (2.7) reduces to  $\Omega_0 = \sigma_e^2 I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (B'B)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= J_T \otimes I_N \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \sigma_e^2 G \otimes (B'B)^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= I_T \otimes (B'B)^{-1} (W'B + B'W) (B'B)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes I_N \right) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{NT}{\sigma_e^2} \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes (B'B)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_e^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} = -\frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [I_T \otimes (B'B)] \hat{u} = 0$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} J_T \otimes (B'B) \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \right] \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{T}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes (B'B)^2\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_\mu^2} \Big|_{H_0^m} = -\frac{T}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr}[B'B] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' [J_T \otimes (B'B)^2] \hat{u}$$

which is (3.26).

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= G \otimes I_N \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \right] \Big|_{H_0^m} &= 0 \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes (B'B)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{H_0^m} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \hat{u}' [G \otimes (B'B)] \hat{u}$$



which is (3.27). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \\ \text{tr} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \right] \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{T}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\ \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda} \Omega^{-1} \Big|_{H_0^m} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{H_0^m} = \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^4} \hat{u}' \left[ I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W) \right] \hat{u} = 0$$

Using (A.9), the elements of the information matrix under  $H_0^m$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes I_N \right] = \frac{NT}{2\sigma_e^4} \\ J_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T \otimes (B'B) \right] = \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} [B'B] \\ J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} G \otimes I_N \right] = 0 \\ J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] = \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} \left[ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right] \\ J_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} J_T^2 \otimes (B'B)^2 \right] = \frac{T^2}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} \left[ (B'B)^2 \right] \\ J_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \left( J_T \otimes (B'B) \right) \left( G \otimes I_N \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} \left[ J_T G \right] \text{tr} [B'B] = \frac{T-1}{\sigma_e^2} \text{tr} [B'B] \\ J_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} \left( J_T \otimes (B'B) \right) \left( I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} [W'B + B'W] \\ J_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [G^2 \otimes I_N] = N(T-1) \\ J_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \left( G \otimes I_N \right) \left( I_T \otimes (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right) \right] = 0 \\ J_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^4} I_T \otimes \left\{ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right\}^2 \right] = \frac{T}{2\sigma_e^4} \text{tr} \left[ \left\{ (W'B + B'W)(B'B)^{-1} \right\}^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Joint tests for  $H_0^a$ ;  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \lambda = \rho = 0$

N, T	$\eta = 0.0$				$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$	
	$\lambda$	$\rho$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.039	0.023	0.846	0.788	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.500	0.416	0.973	0.963	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.983	0.980	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.325	0.283	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.718	0.672	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.996	0.992	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.0	0.946	0.943	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.2	0.987	0.987	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.4	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.6	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.049	0.034	0.984	0.970	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.792	0.752	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.582	0.537	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.943	0.939	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.046	0.040	0.990	0.970	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.836	0.799	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.642	0.592	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.956	0.950	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.045	0.030	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.987	0.980	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.886	0.870	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 2: One-Dimensional Conditional tests for (C.1)  $H_0^h$ ;  $\lambda = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ )

N, T	$\lambda$	$\rho$	$\eta = 0.0$		$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$		$\eta = 0.8$	
			$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.056	0.060	0.044	0.053	0.053	0.062	0.045	0.050
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.038	0.043	0.048	0.052	0.042	0.047	0.049	0.053
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.053	0.058	0.059	0.058	0.042	0.046	0.042	0.048
25 7	0.0	0.6	0.058	0.054	0.046	0.045	0.035	0.048	0.049	0.051
25 7	0.0	0.8	0.047	0.049	0.048	0.051	0.048	0.047	0.031	0.033
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.460	0.482	0.426	0.439	0.465	0.486	0.448	0.458
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.466	0.486	0.460	0.478	0.433	0.452	0.413	0.443
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.437	0.458	0.441	0.450	0.434	0.442	0.419	0.435
25 7	0.2	0.6	0.437	0.444	0.420	0.424	0.432	0.444	0.438	0.453
25 7	0.2	0.8	0.486	0.470	0.438	0.425	0.423	0.423	0.440	0.462
25 7	0.4	0.0	0.978	0.983	0.974	0.976	0.974	0.975	0.970	0.977
25 7	0.4	0.2	0.988	0.988	0.960	0.969	0.965	0.967	0.975	0.978
25 7	0.4	0.4	0.982	0.985	0.971	0.972	0.966	0.970	0.964	0.965
25 7	0.4	0.6	0.983	0.982	0.966	0.968	0.979	0.984	0.968	0.969
25 7	0.4	0.8	0.981	0.974	0.972	0.966	0.976	0.974	0.970	0.969
25 7	0.6	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.046	0.051	0.055	0.059	0.058	0.061	0.051	0.053
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.051	0.052	0.056	0.059	0.046	0.050	0.045	0.048
25 12	0.0	0.4	0.055	0.051	0.046	0.051	0.051	0.053	0.057	0.059
25 12	0.0	0.6	0.044	0.042	0.050	0.053	0.046	0.051	0.040	0.041
25 12	0.0	0.8	0.056	0.049	0.060	0.048	0.037	0.040	0.045	0.047
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.760	0.768	0.710	0.721	0.733	0.747	0.743	0.747
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.754	0.754	0.735	0.741	0.735	0.743	0.730	0.734
25 12	0.2	0.4	0.741	0.747	0.715	0.723	0.720	0.727	0.704	0.712
25 12	0.2	0.6	0.734	0.726	0.724	0.727	0.722	0.726	0.735	0.744
25 12	0.2	0.8	0.735	0.698	0.737	0.712	0.721	0.724	0.728	0.737
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.066	0.068	0.053	0.053	0.038	0.040	0.042	0.045
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.053	0.053	0.041	0.043	0.058	0.058	0.050	0.051
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.040	0.042	0.048	0.048	0.059	0.062	0.058	0.053
49 7	0.0	0.6	0.047	0.046	0.050	0.057	0.038	0.044	0.042	0.043
49 7	0.0	0.8	0.046	0.034	0.043	0.035	0.036	0.043	0.053	0.051
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.771	0.786	0.732	0.737	0.722	0.727	0.737	0.738
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.756	0.768	0.764	0.769	0.694	0.703	0.726	0.732
49 7	0.2	0.4	0.799	0.806	0.746	0.747	0.715	0.724	0.738	0.739
49 7	0.2	0.6	0.770	0.774	0.727	0.739	0.732	0.740	0.723	0.729
49 7	0.2	0.8	0.738	0.741	0.721	0.728	0.724	0.718	0.717	0.718
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.043	0.046	0.045	0.047	0.062	0.065	0.040	0.041
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.052	0.053	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.048	0.049
49 12	0.0	0.4	0.053	0.055	0.037	0.040	0.072	0.074	0.040	0.040
49 12	0.0	0.6	0.051	0.050	0.036	0.037	0.045	0.045	0.039	0.039
49 12	0.0	0.8	0.051	0.044	0.038	0.033	0.050	0.051	0.057	0.053
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.965	0.966	0.940	0.942	0.942	0.944	0.941	0.943
49 12	0.2	0.2	0.954	0.952	0.934	0.938	0.948	0.949	0.942	0.940
49 12	0.2	0.4	0.955	0.956	0.947	0.949	0.922	0.926	0.945	0.945
49 12	0.2	0.6	0.959	0.955	0.946	0.948	0.950	0.955	0.925	0.947
49 12	0.2	0.8	0.953	0.934	0.941	0.937	0.954	0.957	0.949	0.953
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 3: One-Dimensional Conditional tests for (C.2)  $H_0^i$ ;  $\rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ )

N, T	$\rho$	$\lambda$	$\eta = 0.0$		$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$		$\eta = 0.8$	
			$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.073	0.053	0.043	0.045	0.055	0.060	0.027	0.032
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.080	0.053	0.038	0.035	0.053	0.058	0.058	0.062
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.073	0.053	0.052	0.053	0.042	0.055	0.045	0.045
25 7	0.0	0.6	0.072	0.067	0.070	0.068	0.048	0.052	0.033	0.038
25 7	0.0	0.8	0.065	0.045	0.053	0.062	0.043	0.052	0.047	0.052
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.447	0.415	0.497	0.505	0.522	0.530	0.447	0.455
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.485	0.463	0.465	0.482	0.450	0.455	0.463	0.487
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.480	0.470	0.465	0.460	0.477	0.482	0.458	0.468
25 7	0.2	0.6	0.478	0.455	0.427	0.450	0.473	0.475	0.440	0.453
25 7	0.2	0.8	0.478	0.470	0.467	0.500	0.492	0.502	0.457	0.460
25 7	0.4	0.0	0.867	0.862	0.962	0.958	0.950	0.950	0.948	0.948
25 7	0.4	0.2	0.965	0.968	0.958	0.970	0.963	0.968	0.952	0.958
25 7	0.4	0.4	0.957	0.960	0.957	0.958	0.962	0.957	0.958	0.957
25 7	0.4	0.6	0.973	0.977	0.955	0.955	0.950	0.957	0.942	0.948
25 7	0.4	0.8	0.972	0.977	0.962	0.973	0.973	0.977	0.950	0.955
25 7	0.6	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.062	0.051	0.051	0.053	0.066	0.070	0.051	0.053
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.071	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.045	0.045	0.043	0.044
25 12	0.0	0.4	0.055	0.047	0.051	0.053	0.034	0.035	0.042	0.043
25 12	0.0	0.6	0.062	0.051	0.051	0.056	0.040	0.042	0.047	0.050
25 12	0.0	0.8	0.051	0.041	0.048	0.046	0.030	0.031	0.042	0.044
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.815	0.803	0.816	0.817	0.848	0.848	0.836	0.834
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.793	0.785	0.819	0.827	0.813	0.817	0.818	0.821
25 12	0.2	0.4	0.849	0.842	0.813	0.812	0.812	0.810	0.845	0.843
25 12	0.2	0.6	0.843	0.826	0.809	0.816	0.810	0.810	0.851	0.852
25 12	0.2	0.8	0.837	0.835	0.813	0.814	0.813	0.810	0.814	0.815
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.070	0.040	0.053	0.057	0.040	0.043	0.052	0.057
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.093	0.060	0.040	0.043	0.035	0.035	0.067	0.075
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.090	0.060	0.053	0.053	0.040	0.040	0.050	0.050
49 7	0.0	0.6	0.085	0.057	0.047	0.043	0.040	0.050	0.048	0.052
49 7	0.0	0.8	0.055	0.040	0.038	0.042	0.043	0.048	0.048	0.052
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.757	0.733	0.750	0.755	0.780	0.777	0.743	0.753
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.813	0.807	0.750	0.758	0.783	0.792	0.785	0.793
49 7	0.2	0.4	0.793	0.783	0.778	0.780	0.753	0.755	0.773	0.777
49 7	0.2	0.6	0.790	0.792	0.783	0.785	0.753	0.753	0.767	0.770
49 7	0.2	0.8	0.818	0.817	0.798	0.800	0.757	0.765	0.770	0.773
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.998	0.998
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.068	0.054	0.055	0.055	0.054	0.052	0.052	0.052
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.058	0.050	0.041	0.041	0.051	0.051	0.056	0.056
49 12	0.0	0.4	0.061	0.057	0.049	0.049	0.047	0.047	0.051	0.055
49 12	0.0	0.6	0.068	0.065	0.053	0.058	0.052	0.056	0.054	0.054
49 12	0.0	0.8	0.061	0.064	0.051	0.051	0.045	0.049	0.045	0.044
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.982	0.982	0.987	0.989	0.967	0.968	0.978	0.979
49 12	0.2	0.2	0.976	0.975	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	0.991	0.983	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.6	0.993	0.986	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 4: One-Dimensional Conditional tests for (C.3)  $H_0^j$ ;  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ )

N, T			$\lambda = 0.0$		$\lambda = 0.2$		$\lambda = 0.4$		$\lambda = 0.6$		$\lambda = 0.8$	
	$\eta$	$\rho$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.044	0.019	0.045	0.020	0.052	0.026	0.037	0.014	0.036	0.014
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.048	0.022	0.048	0.012	0.038	0.025	0.025	0.014	0.048	0.026
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.038	0.018	0.047	0.024	0.045	0.025	0.025	0.020	0.042	0.029
25 7	0.0	0.6	0.053	0.022	0.051	0.029	0.057	0.036	0.038	0.025	0.038	0.021
25 7	0.0	0.8	0.042	0.024	0.045	0.029	0.042	0.028	0.040	0.036	0.046	0.030
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.713	0.730	0.710	0.717	0.793	0.812	0.830	0.843	0.873	0.903
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.407	0.436	0.487	0.503	0.570	0.549	0.612	0.601	0.637	0.676
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.150	0.197	0.207	0.232	0.264	0.257	0.393	0.383	0.467	0.477
25 7	0.2	0.6	0.070	0.113	0.113	0.132	0.122	0.124	0.147	0.133	0.237	0.187
25 7	0.2	0.8	0.056	0.042	0.038	0.053	0.037	0.044	0.060	0.042	0.078	0.071
25 7	0.5	0.0	0.997	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.993	1.000	0.984	0.993	0.997	0.997
25 7	0.5	0.2	0.952	0.976	0.937	0.978	0.964	1.000	0.947	0.981	0.963	0.994
25 7	0.5	0.4	0.622	0.752	0.711	0.780	0.734	0.833	0.793	0.867	0.843	0.927
25 7	0.5	0.6	0.293	0.343	0.297	0.343	0.447	0.467	0.536	0.553	0.633	0.687
25 7	0.5	0.8	0.066	0.093	0.098	0.136	0.132	0.143	0.191	0.173	0.250	0.257
25 7	0.8	0.0	0.997	1.000	0.993	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.983	1.000	0.983	1.000
25 7	0.8	0.2	0.973	1.000	0.998	1.000	0.967	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.953	1.000
25 7	0.8	0.4	0.873	0.977	0.872	0.987	0.853	0.993	0.852	0.992	0.917	0.997
25 7	0.8	0.6	0.473	0.728	0.543	0.753	0.613	0.850	0.773	0.927	0.827	0.967
25 7	0.8	0.8	0.191	0.187	0.237	0.268	0.297	0.383	0.456	0.512	0.537	0.697
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.055	0.017	0.053	0.026	0.032	0.016	0.042	0.017	0.045	0.021
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.037	0.016	0.034	0.012	0.052	0.022	0.032	0.022	0.037	0.022
25 12	0.0	0.4	0.052	0.021	0.039	0.022	0.048	0.024	0.040	0.018	0.038	0.018
25 12	0.0	0.6	0.050	0.022	0.045	0.022	0.036	0.022	0.048	0.027	0.058	0.034
25 12	0.0	0.8	0.041	0.021	0.042	0.029	0.044	0.027	0.059	0.029	0.042	0.024
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.987	0.983	0.986	0.985	0.999	0.988	0.995	0.985	0.999	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.923	0.896	0.920	0.915	0.867	0.864	0.895	0.900	0.932	0.925
25 12	0.2	0.4	0.575	0.535	0.558	0.552	0.625	0.634	0.694	0.685	0.735	0.741
25 12	0.2	0.6	0.158	0.162	0.235	0.225	0.265	0.268	0.375	0.355	0.435	0.355
25 12	0.2	0.8	0.062	0.048	0.055	0.062	0.115	0.075	0.075	0.065	0.165	0.128
25 12	0.5	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.5	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.5	0.4	0.999	0.996	0.999	1.000	0.998	1.000	0.975	0.995	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.5	0.6	0.726	0.785	0.721	0.774	0.745	0.805	0.805	0.852	0.845	0.905
25 12	0.5	0.8	0.116	0.153	0.184	0.204	0.211	0.218	0.264	0.271	0.475	0.475
25 12	0.8	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.8	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.8	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.996	1.000	0.997	1.000	0.999	1.000
25 12	0.8	0.6	0.978	1.000	0.924	1.000	0.918	0.997	0.939	1.000	0.986	0.993
25 12	0.8	0.8	0.465	0.537	0.582	0.663	0.501	0.614	0.624	0.769	0.774	0.842

Table 4 Continued: One-Dimensional Conditional tests for (C.3)  $H_0^j$ ;  $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ )

N, T	$\eta$	$\rho$	$\lambda = 0.0$		$\lambda = 0.2$		$\lambda = 0.4$		$\lambda = 0.6$		$\lambda = 0.8$	
			$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.047	0.020	0.047	0.015	0.051	0.023	0.050	0.020	0.040	0.022
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.047	0.018	0.059	0.029	0.054	0.026	0.034	0.019	0.048	0.019
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.047	0.017	0.061	0.028	0.056	0.030	0.051	0.027	0.048	0.022
49 7	0.0	0.6	0.054	0.026	0.047	0.032	0.044	0.013	0.043	0.021	0.043	0.024
49 7	0.0	0.8	0.056	0.034	0.062	0.035	0.046	0.033	0.042	0.017	0.051	0.027
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.974	0.972	0.962	0.964	0.981	0.981	0.990	0.982	0.963	0.964
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.744	0.775	0.852	0.857	0.856	0.884	0.791	0.831	0.894	0.914
49 7	0.2	0.4	0.352	0.376	0.434	0.498	0.446	0.421	0.662	0.634	0.731	0.731
49 7	0.2	0.6	0.117	0.117	0.114	0.148	0.196	0.172	0.213	0.189	0.312	0.283
49 7	0.2	0.8	0.045	0.041	0.135	0.114	0.051	0.081	0.132	0.084	0.095	0.072
49 7	0.5	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.5	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.5	0.4	0.950	0.972	0.972	0.991	0.965	0.985	0.984	0.998	0.992	1.000
49 7	0.5	0.6	0.491	0.577	0.554	0.631	0.618	0.720	0.898	0.917	0.852	0.890
49 7	0.5	0.8	0.126	0.125	0.121	0.159	0.156	0.153	0.322	0.323	0.417	0.450
49 7	0.8	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.8	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.8	0.4	0.999	1.000	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.8	0.6	0.898	0.947	0.863	0.946	0.877	1.000	0.955	0.971	0.997	1.000
49 7	0.8	0.8	0.339	0.398	0.374	0.392	0.536	0.615	0.696	0.766	0.926	0.928
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.042	0.021	0.041	0.019	0.050	0.023	0.060	0.028	0.047	0.018
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.050	0.016	0.047	0.023	0.051	0.024	0.049	0.019	0.037	0.017
49 12	0.0	0.4	0.050	0.023	0.053	0.023	0.047	0.021	0.043	0.023	0.045	0.017
49 12	0.0	0.6	0.053	0.022	0.046	0.022	0.061	0.032	0.046	0.016	0.052	0.029
49 12	0.0	0.8	0.049	0.025	0.053	0.023	0.051	0.030	0.050	0.028	0.052	0.024
49 12	0.2	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.2	0.979	0.972	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	0.824	0.839	0.853	0.841	0.849	0.836	0.842	0.849	0.833	0.827
49 12	0.2	0.6	0.358	0.354	0.365	0.382	0.402	0.413	0.452	0.465	0.499	0.488
49 12	0.2	0.8	0.075	0.053	0.127	0.118	0.136	0.109	0.245	0.176	0.208	0.193
49 12	0.5	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.5	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.5	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.5	0.6	0.967	0.972	0.976	0.993	0.913	0.966	0.943	0.964	0.924	0.947
49 12	0.5	0.8	0.298	0.314	0.373	0.315	0.425	0.419	0.591	0.623	0.721	0.728
49 12	0.8	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.8	0.2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.8	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.8	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.985	1.000	0.987	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.8	0.8	0.647	0.725	0.829	0.987	0.820	0.947	0.943	0.989	0.998	0.997

Table 5: Two-Dimensional Conditional Tests for (C.4)  $H_0^k$ ;  $\lambda = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\sigma_\mu^2 > 0$ )

N, T	$\rho$	$\lambda$	$\eta = 0.0$		$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$		$\eta = 0.8$	
			$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.077	0.055	0.060	0.057	0.040	0.048	0.055	0.060
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.410	0.373	0.377	0.373	0.382	0.390	0.383	0.382
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.927	0.930	0.913	0.918	0.912	0.923	0.925	0.930
25 7	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.833	0.833	0.998	0.998
25 7	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.833	0.833	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.387	0.413	0.348	0.373	0.408	0.410	0.397	0.397
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.655	0.653	0.620	0.638	0.638	0.647	0.633	0.658
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.967	0.975	0.978	0.982	0.948	0.955	0.962	0.972
25 7	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.833	0.833	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.833	0.833	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.0	0.953	0.958	0.948	0.950	0.955	0.955	0.943	0.948
25 7	0.4	0.2	0.982	0.988	0.972	0.978	0.978	0.980	0.982	0.988
25 7	0.4	0.4	1.000	1.000	0.997	0.998	0.998	0.998	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.6	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.052	0.049	0.047	0.043	0.057	0.063	0.047	0.050
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.735	0.717	0.728	0.734	0.768	0.768	0.738	0.742
25 12	0.0	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999
25 12	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.644	0.665	0.635	0.643	0.643	0.652	0.600	0.613
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.948	0.949	0.951	0.954	0.936	0.945	0.946	0.946
25 12	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.073	0.060	0.042	0.040	0.052	0.057	0.050	0.053
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.705	0.678	0.705	0.708	0.663	0.665	0.642	0.638
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.997	0.997	0.997	0.998	1.000	1.000	0.995	0.995
49 7	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.848	0.867	0.675	0.672	0.640	0.632	0.612	0.610
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.937	0.935	0.930	0.943	0.912	0.917	0.920	0.922
49 7	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.998
49 7	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.060	0.057	0.039	0.039	0.049	0.053	0.048	0.047
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.980	0.958	0.964	0.963	0.967	0.968	0.970	0.969
49 12	0.0	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.904	0.907	0.898	0.899	0.897	0.898	0.900	0.908
49 12	0.2	0.2	0.998	0.998	0.999	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 6: Two-Dimensional Conditional Tests for (C.5)  $H_0^l$ ;  $\lambda = \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$  (assuming  $\rho \neq 0$ )

N, T	$\lambda$	$\rho$	$\eta = 0.0$		$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$		$\eta = 0.8$	
			$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.051	0.026	0.638	0.655	0.994	0.990	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.046	0.036	0.311	0.373	0.909	0.946	0.945	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.049	0.025	0.147	0.154	0.553	0.691	0.833	0.981
25 7	0.0	0.6	0.045	0.036	0.072	0.077	0.185	0.233	0.448	0.672
25 7	0.0	0.8	0.034	0.020	0.042	0.041	0.061	0.058	0.088	0.146
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.364	0.344	0.768	0.795	0.996	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.376	0.362	0.547	0.646	0.928	0.984	0.997	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.399	0.323	0.436	0.453	0.774	0.821	0.920	0.981
25 7	0.2	0.6	0.414	0.304	0.409	0.367	0.463	0.525	0.665	0.844
25 7	0.2	0.8	0.349	0.283	0.348	0.337	0.351	0.362	0.368	0.442
25 7	0.4	0.0	0.962	0.960	0.984	0.977	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.2	0.936	0.956	0.947	0.973	0.984	1.000	0.998	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.4	0.932	0.964	0.943	0.957	0.977	0.995	0.997	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.6	0.942	0.943	0.958	0.960	0.952	0.980	0.982	0.983
25 7	0.4	0.8	0.935	0.947	0.951	0.933	0.950	0.943	0.949	0.970
25 7	0.6	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.046	0.028	0.968	0.955	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.051	0.029	0.837	0.795	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.4	0.050	0.025	0.465	0.400	0.983	0.982	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.6	0.058	0.044	0.138	0.146	0.598	0.668	0.929	0.995
25 12	0.0	0.8	0.047	0.027	0.036	0.046	0.107	0.144	0.248	0.434
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.657	0.571	0.985	0.994	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.614	0.580	0.941	0.952	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.4	0.652	0.643	0.806	0.873	0.972	0.985	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.6	0.655	0.571	0.643	0.696	0.943	0.951	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.8	0.649	0.663	0.627	0.620	0.638	0.676	0.751	0.842
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.047	0.029	0.924	0.918	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.046	0.032	0.658	0.675	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.039	0.034	0.259	0.295	0.903	0.914	0.997	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.6	0.042	0.033	0.094	0.103	0.415	0.436	0.815	0.965
49 7	0.0	0.8	0.046	0.032	0.063	0.037	0.096	0.048	0.193	0.268
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.669	0.619	0.971	0.986	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.693	0.616	0.884	0.911	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.4	0.620	0.519	0.759	0.691	0.978	0.987	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.6	0.684	0.648	0.657	0.653	0.817	0.854	0.948	0.988
49 7	0.2	0.8	0.679	0.593	0.614	0.667	0.615	0.743	0.717	0.883
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.051	0.024	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.048	0.027	0.987	0.983	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.4	0.059	0.040	0.756	0.729	1.000	1.000	0.927	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.6	0.036	0.033	0.228	0.240	0.916	0.928	0.264	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.8	0.043	0.026	0.047	0.059	0.143	0.217	0.586	0.801
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.911	0.907	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.2	0.905	0.909	0.998	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	0.916	0.843	0.993	0.938	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.6	0.906	0.915	0.936	0.906	0.994	1.000	0.967	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.8	0.927	0.978	0.925	0.941	0.917	0.943	0.986	0.987
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000



Table 7: Two-Dimensional Conditional Tests for (C.6)  $H_0^m$ ;  $\sigma_\mu^2 = \rho = 0$  (assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$ )

N, T	$\eta = 0.0$				$\eta = 0.2$		$\eta = 0.5$	
	$\rho$	$\lambda$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$	$LM_J$	$LR_J$
25 7	0.0	0.0	0.034	0.032	0.859	0.813	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.2	0.045	0.035	0.874	0.864	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.4	0.046	0.035	0.882	0.860	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.6	0.039	0.037	0.858	0.869	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.0	0.8	0.053	0.051	0.943	0.901	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.0	0.564	0.524	0.985	0.986	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.2	0.547	0.521	0.999	0.998	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.4	0.552	0.508	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.6	0.570	0.526	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.2	0.8	0.565	0.523	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.0	0.041	0.043	0.985	0.971	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.2	0.045	0.039	0.985	0.990	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.4	0.051	0.047	0.989	0.986	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.6	0.039	0.041	0.994	0.967	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.0	0.8	0.040	0.042	0.994	0.995	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.0	0.846	0.812	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.2	0.841	0.823	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.4	0.850	0.817	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.6	0.847	0.810	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.2	0.8	0.852	0.817	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
25 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.0	0.042	0.037	0.981	0.980	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.2	0.060	0.052	0.997	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.4	0.061	0.052	1.000	0.999	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.6	0.053	0.042	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.0	0.8	0.052	0.048	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.0	0.910	0.873	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.2	0.878	0.857	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.4	0.872	0.873	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.6	0.895	0.875	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.2	0.8	0.901	0.890	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 7	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.0	0.050	0.037	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.2	0.051	0.050	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.4	0.063	0.051	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.6	0.053	0.049	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.0	0.8	0.048	0.045	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.0	0.959	0.961	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.2	1.000	0.997	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.4	0.996	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.6	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.2	0.8	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
49 12	0.4	0.0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000