Estimating Prices Transition Rate with Ultra-High-Frequency Data

Jian-ming Sun

Huazhong University of Science and Technology Hubei Polytechnic University (430064 Wuhan China)

- Abstract: Ultra-high-frequency data is defined to be a full record of transactions and their associated characteristics. In this paper marked point processes are applied to describe ultra-high-frequency data. By producing general marked point process sample function density, inserting the Markov process, which describes prices transition into the marked point process, prices transition rate is estimated by ML.
- Key words: Prices transition rate marked point processes ultra-high-frequency data ML estimation

1. Introduction

Ultra-high-frequency data is defined to be a full record of transactions and their associated characteristics (Robert F. Engle 2000). Transaction data inherently arrive in irregular time intervals. Of course, one can aggregate this data up to some fixed time interval (Tim Bollerslev 2001). There is naturally a loss of information in such aggregate. If the intervals are small the large number of zeros makes econometrics analysis very complex.

The times and prices of transactions are random. As in science and engineering, stochastic processes can be used to solve these econometrics problems. Engle and Russell (1997, 1998) developed an autoregressive conditional duration model, which is based on Poisson process. Robert F.Engle (2000) introduced a framework to estimate models for ultra-high-frequency data. The latter paper pay eyes on the transaction intensity. However the transaction prices are the best important, the changes of prices should be the center being paid attention to. This paper will develop a marked point process model, deduce sample function density, estimate parameters by ML, and insert the Markov process which describes the price changes of transactions into the marked point process, and then deduce the estimation formulation of prices transition rate.

2. Formulating The Economic Questions Statistically

Transactions data can be described two types of random variables. The first is the time of the transaction, and the second is a vector observed at the time of the transaction. In the literature of point processes, these latter variables are called marks as they identify or further describe the event that occurred.

At to any arrival time w_i of point process there is a mark u_i . u_i randomly take a mark in countable set $\{U_1, U_2 \cdots\}$. Assume T be a interval in $[t, \infty)$, A be a subset of mark space U. we denote the number of the points by N(T × A) which occur in time interval T and marks in A. Similarly N([s,t) ×

{U}) is the total number in $[t_0, t)$. As to $t_o \le s < t$, $N_{st} = N_t - N_s$, Let $N_t = N$ ($[t_0, t) \times \{U\}$)

Assume that: a. $\{N_t; t \ge t_0\}$ is conditional orderliness.

b. Defining function

$$a(\Delta t, N_{t}, U_{i}) = \begin{cases} (\Delta t)^{-1} \Pr[N([t, t + \Delta t) \times \{U_{i}\}) = 1 | N_{t}], & N_{t} = 0\\ (\Delta t)^{-1} \Pr[N([t, t + \Delta t) \times \{U_{i}\}) = 1 | N_{t}, w_{1} \cdots w_{N_{t}}, u_{1} \cdots u_{N_{t}}], N_{t} \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

as $\Delta t \rightarrow o$, to almost all realizations of the marked point process, the limit of the function $a(\Delta t, N_t, U_i)$ exists.

c. $Pr[N_{t_0} = 0] = 1$ As to N_t=0, let

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(0) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} (\Delta t)^{-1} \Pr[N([t, t + \Delta t) \times \{U_{i}\}) = 1 | N_{t}]$$
(2a)

As to $N_t \ge 1$, let

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{t}, \boldsymbol{u}_{i} (\boldsymbol{N}_{t}; \boldsymbol{w}_{1} \cdots \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{t}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \cdots \boldsymbol{u}_{N_{t}})$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} (\Delta t)^{-1} \Pr[N([t, t + \Delta t) \times \{\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\}) = 1 | \boldsymbol{N}_{t}, \boldsymbol{w}_{1} \cdots \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{t}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \cdots \boldsymbol{u}_{N_{t}}]$$
(2b)

Define stochastic process { I_{t}, U_{i} ; $t \ge t_{0}$ }

$$\boldsymbol{I}_{t,U_{i}} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(0), & t_{0} \leq t \leq W_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(N_{t}; W_{1} \cdots W_{N_{t}}, u_{1} \cdots u_{N_{t}}), W_{N_{t}} < t \leq W_{N_{t}+1} \end{cases}$$
(3)

This process is called the ith mark density process. The probability that there is a point marked U_i is nearly $I_{t,U_i}\Delta t_o$

$$Pr[N_{t,t+\Delta t} = 1 | N_t = 0] = Pr[N([t, t+\Delta t) \times U) = 1 | N_t = 0]$$

=
$$\sum_{i} Pr[N([t, t+\Delta t) \times \{U_i\}) = 1 | N_t = 0]$$

=
$$\mathbf{m}(0)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$
 (4)

Note $\boldsymbol{m}_{t}(0) = \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(0)$

similarly : as to $N_t \ge 1$

$$Pr[N_{t,t+\Delta t} = 1 | N_t; w_1 \cdots w_{N_t}, u_1 \cdots u_{N_t}]$$

= $\boldsymbol{m}_t (N_t; w_1 \cdots w_{N_t}, u_1 \cdots u_{N_t}) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ (5)

Note

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{t}(\mathbf{N}_{t};\mathbf{w}_{1}\cdots\mathbf{w}_{N_{t}},\mathbf{u}_{1}\cdots\mathbf{u}_{N_{t}})$ $= \sum \boldsymbol{m}_{t}(\mathbf{N}_{t};\mathbf{w}_{1}\cdots\mathbf{w}_{N_{t}})$

$$= \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{i,U_{i}} (N_{t}; w_{1} \cdots w_{N_{t}}, u_{1} \cdots u_{N_{t}})$$

When given point numbers the arrival time and the marks of the points, the conditional probability that there is a point over $[t, t + \Delta t)$ is nearly $I_{\perp}\Delta t$

$$\boldsymbol{I}_{t} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{I}_{t,U_{i}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i}^{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(0) & t_{0} \leq t \leq w_{1} \\ \sum_{i}^{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{t,U_{i}}(N_{t}; w_{1} \cdots w_{N_{t}}, u_{1} \cdots u_{N_{t}}), & w_{N_{t}} < t \leq w_{N_{t}+1} \end{cases}$$
(6)

According to the assumption (a), the conditional probability that there is not a point is nearly $1 - I_{t,\Delta t}$

As to N_s=0

$$\Pr[N_{s,t} = 0 \mid N_s = 0] = \exp[-\int_s^t \boldsymbol{m}_s(0) d\boldsymbol{s}]$$
(7)

As to $N_s \ge 1$

$$\Pr[N_{s,t} = 0 | N_s; w_1 \cdots w_{N_s}, u_1 \cdots u_{N_t}] = \exp[-\int_s^t \boldsymbol{m}_s (N_s; w_1 \cdots w_{N_s}, u_1 \cdots u_{N_t}) d\boldsymbol{s}]$$
 (8)

If giver a marked point process, an event occurs, then the conditional probability that there is a point marked U_i is nearly $I_{t,u}I_t^{-1}$.

3. Sample Function Density

The sample function density of marked point process is defined as:

$$f[\{Z_{s}; t_{0} \leq s < t\}] = \begin{cases} Pr(N_{t} = 0) & N_{t} = 0 \\ f_{w}(w, u_{1} = x_{1}, \cdots u_{n} = x_{n}, N_{t} = n) & N_{t} = n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$
(9)

As to $i(1 \le i \le n)$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{U}$

$$f_{w}(w, u_{1} = \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \cdots u_{n} = \boldsymbol{x}_{n}, N_{t} = n) = \lim_{\substack{\max(\Delta w_{1}) \to 0 \\ 1 \le i \le n}} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Delta w_{i})^{-1} \Pr[E_{n}, N_{t} = n]$$

Where as n=1. E_n denotes the events

{N([t₀, w₁)×U) = 0, N([w₁, w₁ +
$$\Delta$$
w₁)×{**x**₁}) = 1}

$$\{N([t_0, w_1) \times \mathsf{U}) = 0, N([w_1, w_1 + \Delta w_1) \times \{\mathbf{x}_1\}) = 1, \cdots, \\N([w_{n-1} + \Delta w_{n-1}, w_n) \times \mathsf{U}) = 0, N([w_n, w_n + \Delta w_n) \times \{\mathbf{x}_n\}) = 1\}$$

 $f[\{Z_s; t_0 \le s < t\}] \Delta w_1 \Delta w_2 \cdots \Delta w_n \quad \text{can be understood as a particular realization}$ probability which there is a marked point process over $[t_0, t)$, the realization has $N_t = n$ points, which occur at $w_1 = W_1 \cdots w_n = W_n$ and have marks $u_1 = \xi_1 \dots u_n = \xi_n$

According to (7) as to Nt=0

$$f[\{z_s; t_0 \le \boldsymbol{s} < t\}] = \exp[-\int_{t_0}^t \boldsymbol{m}_s(0) d\boldsymbol{s}]$$
(10)

As to Nt=1

$$Pr(E_{1}, N_{1} = 1) = Pr(N_{w_{1}} = 0) \times Pr[N([w_{1}, w_{1} + \Delta w_{1}) \times \{\mathbf{x}_{1}\}) = 1 | N_{w_{1}} = 0] \times Pr[N_{w_{1} + \Delta w_{1}, t} = 0 | E_{1}]$$

combine (9)(8) with (2)

$$f[\{z_{s}, t_{0} \leq s < t\}] = \boldsymbol{m}_{w_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}}(0) \exp[-\int_{t_{0}}^{w_{1}} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(0) d\boldsymbol{s} - \int_{w_{1}}^{t} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(1, w_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}) d\boldsymbol{s}]$$
(11)

Note
$$\mathbf{m}_{t}(\mathbf{l}; \mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{m}_{t, U_{i}}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1})$$

as to $N_{t} = n - 2$
 $\Pr(\mathbf{E}_{n}, \mathbf{N}_{t} = n) = \Pr(\mathbf{N}_{w_{1}} = 0) \times \Pr[\mathbf{N}([\mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{1} + \Delta \mathbf{w}_{1}) \times \{\mathbf{x}_{1}\}) = 1 | \mathbf{N}_{w_{1}} = 0]$
 $\times \{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \Pr[\mathbf{N}([\mathbf{w}_{i}, \mathbf{w}_{i} + \Delta \mathbf{w}_{i}) \times \{\mathbf{x}_{1}\}) = 1 | \mathbf{E}_{i-1}, \mathbf{N}_{w_{i}} = i - 1]$
 $\times \Pr(\mathbf{N}_{w_{i-1} + \Delta w_{i-1}, w_{i}} = 0 | \mathbf{E}_{i-1})\} \Pr(\mathbf{N}_{w_{n} + \Delta w_{n}, t} = 0 | \mathbf{E}_{n})$
 $f[\{z_{s}, t_{0} \leq s < t\}] = \mathbf{m}_{w_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}}(0) \{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \mathbf{m}_{w_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}}(i - 1; w_{1} \cdots w_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i-1})\} \times \exp[-\int_{t_{0}}^{w_{1}} \mathbf{m}_{s}(0) ds - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \int_{w_{i}, 1}^{w_{i}} (i - 1, w_{1} \cdots w_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i-1}) ds - \int_{w_{n}}^{t} \mathbf{m}_{s}(n; w_{1} \cdots w_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n}) ds]$ (12)

4. Estimating Model Parameters

Take a realization $\{N_t, w_i \cdots w_{N_t}, u_1 \cdots u_{N_t}\}$ of the marked point process over $[t_0, t)$ as observation data, the Likelihood function is

$$\ln f[\{z_{s}; t_{0} \leq s < t\}] = \begin{cases} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{m}_{0}(0) d\boldsymbol{s}, & N_{t} = 0 \\ \int_{t_{0}}^{w_{1}} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(0) d\boldsymbol{s} + \int_{w_{1}}^{t} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(1; w_{1}, \boldsymbol{m}_{1}) d\boldsymbol{s} + \ln \boldsymbol{m}_{w_{1}, u_{1}}(0) & N_{t} = 1 \\ \begin{cases} \int_{t_{0}}^{w_{1}} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(0) d\boldsymbol{s} + \sum_{i=2}^{N_{t}} \int_{w_{i-1}}^{w_{i}} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(i-1; w_{1} \cdots w_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdots \boldsymbol{m}_{i-1}) d\boldsymbol{s} \\ + \int_{w_{N_{t}}}^{t} \boldsymbol{m}_{s}(N_{t}; w_{1} \cdots w_{N_{t}}, u_{1} \cdots u_{N_{t}}) d\boldsymbol{s} + \ln \boldsymbol{m}_{w_{1}, u_{1}}(0) \\ + \sum_{i=2}^{N_{t}} \ln \boldsymbol{m}_{w_{i}, u_{i}}(i-1, w_{1} \cdots w_{i-1}, u_{1} \cdots u_{i-1}) & N_{t} \geq 2 \end{cases}$$
(13)

The likelihood estimator is the values which make likelihood functions (13) take maximum, where it is needed to be represented by data.

$$\mathbf{N}_{t} = \mathbf{n} \quad \mathbf{W}_{1} = \mathbf{W}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n} = \mathbf{W}_{n}, \mathbf{u}_{1} = \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{n} = \mathbf{x}_{n}$$

5. Estimation Prices Transition Rate

Let x_t be prices, $\{x_t, t \ge t_0, x_{t_0} = X_0\}$ be a jump Markov process, define

$$a_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{x}_{k}}(t) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} (\Delta t)^{-1} \Pr[\mathbf{x}_{t+\Delta t} = \mathbf{x}_{k}] \mid \mathbf{x}_{t} = \mathbf{x}_{i}], & i \neq k \\ -\sum_{j(j\neq i)} a_{\mathbf{x}_{i}},_{\mathbf{x}_{j}}(t) & i = k \end{cases}$$
(14)

Is transition rate from condition \mathbf{X}_i to condition \mathbf{X}_k , when given beginning condition X_0 , $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{x}_j}$ statistically denote $\{x_t, t \ge t_o, x_{t_o} = \mathbf{X}_0\}$

if x has a transition from x_t to x_{t+} at time t, the marked point has a point marked $x_{t+} - x_t$. The mark density process I_{t,U_i} can be obtained by

$$I_{t, U_{i}} = a_{z_{t}+X_{0}, z_{t}+X_{0}+U_{i}}(t)$$
(15)

 z_t is the accumulation of all marks over $\left[t_{_0} \text{, } t \right)$, and $\left[z_{_t} + X_{_0} = x_{_t} \right]$

Replace (15) into (3)(13)

$$l = -\sum_{i} [(W_{1} - t_{0})a_{x_{0},x_{0}+u_{i}} + (W_{2} - W_{1})a_{x_{0}+x_{1},x_{0}+x_{1}+u_{1}} + \dots + (T - W_{n})a_{x_{0}+x_{1}+\dots+x_{n},x_{0}+x_{1}+\dots+x_{n}+u_{i}}] + [lna_{x_{0},x_{0}+x_{1}} + lna_{x_{0}+z_{1},x_{0}+x_{1}+\dots+x_{n}-l}, x_{0}+x_{1}+\dots+x_{n}]$$
(16)

n is the observed transitions number of x over $[t_0, T)$, $w_1, w_2...w_n$ are the transition time. $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_n$ are observed transitions.

Note
$$I_{U}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{x} = U \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \neq U \end{cases}$$
 (17)

Likelihood function can be rewritten as:

$$1 = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j(\neq i)} \left[(W_{1} - t_{o}) I_{X_{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + (W_{2} - W_{1}) I_{X_{o} + \boldsymbol{x}_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \right] + \dots + (T - W_{n}) I_{X_{0} + \boldsymbol{x}_{1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{x}_{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i})] a_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}}$$

$$+\sum_{i}\sum_{j(\neq i)} [I_{x_{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i})I_{x_{0}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) + I_{x_{0}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i})I_{x_{0}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) + I_{x_{0}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\dots+\boldsymbol{x}_{n-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})I_{x_{0}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\dots+\boldsymbol{x}_{n-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})]\ln(a_{x_{i},x_{j}})$$

As to $i \neq j$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial a_{x_i,x_j}} = -T(\boldsymbol{x}_i) + \frac{N(\boldsymbol{x}_i \to \boldsymbol{x}_j)}{a_{x_i,x_j}}$$
(18)

Note:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) &= (\mathbf{W}_{1} - \mathbf{t}_{0})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + (\mathbf{W}_{2} - \mathbf{W}_{1})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \dots + (\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{W}_{n})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_{n}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \\ \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_{i} \to \mathbf{x}_{j}) &= \mathbf{I}_{x_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{i})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1}}(\mathbf{x}_{i})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1} + \mathbf{x}_{n}}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) + \dots + \mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_{n-1}}(\mathbf{x}_{i})\mathbf{I}_{x_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_{n}}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \end{split}$$

 $T(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is the total time of x in condition \mathbf{x}_i , $N(\mathbf{x}_i \to \mathbf{x}_j)$ is the transition times from condition \mathbf{x}_i to \mathbf{x}_j . Then the ML estimator $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{x}_i}$ of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{x}_i}$ is

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{x}_j} = \frac{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \to \mathbf{x}_j)}{\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)}$$
(19)

 $T(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \neq 0$ If $T(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = 0$, let $\hat{a}_{x_{i},x_{i}} = 0$

6. Conclusion

This paper proposed a new technique for modeling irregularly spaced time series data using marked point processes that is particularly well suited for financial transactions data. The formulation of prices transition rate estimation can be used to calculate the value at risk.

References

[1] T.Bollerslev, J.H.Wright, "High-Frequency Data, Frequency Domain Inference And Volatility Forecasting", the Review of Economics and Statistics, 2001.83(4): 596-602

[2] T.Bollerslev. Financial econometrics: Past developments and future challenges. Journal of econometrics 100(2001), 41-51

[3] R.F.Engle "The Econometrics of Ultra-High frequency Data", Econometric 68 (2000), 1-22

[4] R.F.Engle Russell, J.R "Autoregressive conditional duration: a new model for irregularly spaced transactions prices", Econometrica 66.(1998) 1127-1163

[5] R.F. Engle Financial econometrics-A new discipline with new methods. Journal of econometrics 100(2001), 53-36

[6] D.L.Snyder, M.I. Miller: Random point processes, (1974) New York: Springer-Verlag.